DESOTO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BOARD MEETING MINUTES

DISTRICT FOUR LEE CALDWELL, PRESIDING

September 15, 2014

A. CALL TO ORDER

The September 15, 2014 meeting of the DeSoto County Board of Supervisors was called to order by Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Board President.

Sheriff Bill Rasco of the DeSoto County Sheriff's Department was present and opened the DeSoto County Board of Supervisors meeting in a regular session to hear all business before the Board of Supervisors. The following officials were present:

District 1
District 2
District 3
District 4
District 5
Sheriff

W. E. Sluggo Davis
Vanessa Lynchard
Tony Nowak

Chancery Clerk
County Administrator
Board Attorney

B. INVOCATION

Deputy Carl Hurt presented the invocation.

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

D. CITIZENS REMARKS & PRESENTATIONS

1. Resolution of Appreciation for Mrs. America Mississippi – Dawn Keenum Gaines

Supervisor Lee Caldwell welcomed Mrs. America Mississippi Dawn Keenum Gaines. Supervisor Caldwell thanked Ms. Gaines for all she has done and is doing for DeSoto County. Supervisor Caldwell read the Resolution of Appreciation for Ms. Gaines.

Ms. Gaines thanked the Board for the recognition and for the presentation of the Resolution of Appreciation.

2. Extension Service – Introduction of New Office Associate: Lara Savage Angel

Director of Extension Services Joy Anderson introduced Lara Savage Angel who is the new Extension Agent in the office and began on September 1, 2014. Ms. Anderson said that Ms. Angel will work in Family Consumer Science and Family and Youth Programming. Ms. Angel will also take care of requests from senior citizens. Ms. Anderson said they do have an open position for Office Assistant.

The Board of Supervisors thanked Ms. Anderson and welcomed Ms. Angel to the Extension Service.

3. Yazoo Mississippi Levy Board: Resolution for Support

Robert Abbay representing the Yazoo Mississippi Levy Board and Executor Director Dean Pennington appeared before the Board to discuss a resolution of support for the Levy Board in relation to MDEQ implementing additional mandatory metering of irrigation wells in the Mississippi Delta. Mr. Abbay said they need a resolution affirming their existence that the District has the lead on water management.

He said they are the only District in the state where two governments join together. He said when rain does not meet the needs, irrigation explodes. Mr. Abbay said 1,800 wells pump water from the alluvial aquifer. This is not our drinking water; it is deeper. Mr. Abbay said approximately 80% of all water used in Mississippi, is by agriculture in the Delta. Our drinking water comes from a smaller aquifer, Sparta Wilcox and Memphis Sand. Mr. Abbay said heavy use has resulted in significant water declines. He said we are irrigating almost two million acres. The water District's primary objective is to make sure we have a sustainable water supply.

Mr. Abbay said the southeastern United States has a huge advantage over other areas in the country. We have a lot of surface water that could be used for irrigation.

Supervisor Mark Gardner asked if stormwater helps others south of here. Mr. Abbay said yes, that is one thing we are looking at now.

Mr. Dean Pennington said each county has a member on the board and additional members are appointed by assessed valuation. He said that local management produces the best solution for their areas.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell suggested forming a committee with the Yazoo Levy Board. Mr. Pennington said that can be done later. He showed pictures of all the wells but not from the same aquifer. He said when it rains it takes 30 years for water to get to our drinking aquifer. The agriculture aquifer is replenished in just a few months.

Supervisor Caldwell said the Board is meeting with the Legislators shortly and invited Mr. Abbay and Mr. Pennington to stay for that discussion.

The Board thanked the representatives of the Levy Board for the information.

E. APPROVAL OF AGENDA: ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS

Supervisor Lee Caldwell asked if there was anyone who wished to add or delete items to the Agenda.

1. Chancery Clerk Sluggo Davis said he received acknowledgement from the State for the 2014 tax rolls.

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to acknowledge the approval of the State Department of Revenue accepting the final 2014 DeSoto County tax rolls.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

- 2. Supervisor Bill Russell said a teacher was carrying a gun in her purse and it discharged and shot the teacher. Supervisor Russell asked the Board Attorney if there is a Federal Law prohibiting carrying guns in schools. Mr. Nowak said he would look into it.
- 3. Road Manager Andy Swims requested consideration for adding three items for Executive Session under Personnel. This item was later determined to qualify for executive session.
- 4. Chief Financial Officer Tom Arnold requested adding items for Consent: one budget amendment for Administrative Services, three expense reports for Sheriff's Deputies, and three final inventory dispositions for the Road Department. He also requested one item in new business for a preliminary disposition for the Sheriff's Patrol.

a. Expense Reports for Sheriff's Department

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to pay expenses to travel to the coast to pick up a helicopter as follows:

John Herron \$284.85 Jeremy Russell \$388.77 Adam McHann \$221.31

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit E.4.a

b. Animal Services

Director of Administrative Services Pat McLeod explained that the county has on call employees who are temporary employees and who are paid out of her budget. Ms. McLeod said Animal Services will be hiring a new employee within the next couple of weeks, but will need an on call person for approximately five hours daily until their new employee starts work.

Ms. McLeod said the budget amendment presented by Mr. Arnold is to move funds for on-call employees for the remainder of the year.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Harvey Lee seconded the motion to approve the budget amendment as presented by the Director of Administrator Services for a temporary employee to work in Animal Services five hours per day.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit E.4.b

c. Inventory Dispositions - Final

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Bill Russell seconded the motion to approve the preliminary and final inventory dispositions as follows:

1) Road Department: Final (3)

ASSET#	G R A DESCRIPTION	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL	Y R A C Q		LOCATION AT PRELIMINARY	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE	DISPOSED OF BY	FINAL DISPOSAL LOCATION
36131	4000 WATT GENERATOR	93176-2191375	WILL NOT POWER UP/NON REPAIRABLE	10	3Н	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	08/18/14		CENTRAL MAINTENANCE

ASSET #	G R A N T	DESCRIPTION	U N I T	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL	Y R A C Q	C O S T		RELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE	DISPOSED OF BY	FINAL DISPOSAL LOCATION
35862		2006 GMC SIERRA TAG #G038365	1	1GTHK29U66R283062	HIGH M ILEAGE 149830 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	06	23K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	09/10/13	KEITH PEARSON	CRENSHAW AUCTION
35914		2006 CHEVY TRUCK TAG #G042442	11	1GCHK23K37F522148	HIGH M ILEAGE 148361 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	07	27K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	"	"	=
31707		2002 GMC SIERRA TAG #G023146	84	1GETK14TX2Z312965	HIGH M ILEAGE 183265 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	02	19K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	ıı	"	=
33761		2005 GMS SIERRA TAG #G032868	95	1GTHK29U65E244177	HIGH MILEAGE 147208 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	05	22K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	"	"	=
35790		2005 GMS SIERRA TAG #G033382	98	1GTHC29U85E268753	HIGH MILEAGE 156382 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	05	20K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	"	"	=
35906		2007 GMC UTILITY TRK TAG #G042462	12	1GDE4C3927F419203	HIGH MILEAGE 217862 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	07	47K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	<u></u>	HAS NOT SOLD - NEW INVE DISPOSITION	NTORY
33768		2005 INT'L DUMP TRK TAG #G033111	105	1HTMMAAR36H182153	REPAIR COSTS - MILEAGE 716 25 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	02	44K	SOUTHERN MAINTENANCE	"	KEITH PEARSON	TOWN OF BYHALIA
33774		2005 INT'L DUMP TRK TAG #G033109	107	1HTMMAAR76H182155	HIGH MILEAGE 173 4 70 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	05	44K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	"	KEITH PEARSON	CRENSHAW AUCTION
33776		2005 INT'L DUMP TRK TAG #G033108	108	1HTMMAAR96H182156	HIGH M ILEAGE 159 2 0 5 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	05	44K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	"	"	=
36092		VOLVO BACKHOE BL70	720	VCOBL70C00020064	HOURS OF SERVICE 928 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	09	75K	SOUTHERN MAINTENANCE	"	KEITH PEARSON	SCOTT CONSTR BUY BACK
36094		VOLVO BACKHOE BL70	721	VCOBL70C00020061	HOURS OF SERVICE 919 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	09	75K	SOUTHERN MAINTENANCE	"	"	=
33684		JOHN DEERE 6420 TRACTOR	660	L06420H402515	HOURS OF SERVICE 3876 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	04	67K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	ıı	KEITH PEARSON	CRENSHAW AUCTION
35849		JOHN DEERE 6420 TRACTOR	678	L06420H479136	HOURS OF SERVICE 3535/ REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	06	77K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	"	"	=
35904		JOHN DEERE 6430 TRACTOR	698	L06430H522428	HOURS OF SERVICE 3396 / REQUEST TO BE DECLARED SURPLUS FOR AUCTION	07	57K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	"	"	=

ASSET#	G R A N T	DESCRIPTION	U N I T	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL	Y R A C Q	C O S T	LOCATION AT PRELIMINARY	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE	DISPOSED OF BY	FINAL DISPOSAL LOCATION
32347		ALAMO 15' BATWING MOWER	650	HY15-02381	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	03	-	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	04/07/14	KEITH PEARSON	CRENSHAW AUCTION
UNI	τw	AS ATTACHED TO ASSET #32347 - JD 6420 UNIT	#650 (T	RACTOR SOLD AT AUCTIO	N 07/03/2006) - ESTIMATED VA	LUE C)F МОИ	VER IS 22K			
33681		ALAMO 15' BATWING MOWER	659	HY15-02413	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	04	23K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	04/07/14	KEITH PEARSON	CRENSHAW AUCTION
33707		ALAMO 15' BATWING MOWER		HY15-02414	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	04	10K	"	"	"	=
35848		ALAMO 15' BATWING MOWER	677	HY15-02541	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	06	1	"	"	"	=
UN	VIT I	WAS ATTACHED TO ASSET #35847 - JD 6420 UNI	T#677	TRACTOR SOLD AT AUCTIO	ON 12/17/12) - ESTIMATED VAL	UE OF	мош	ER IS 22K			
35860		ALAMO 15' BATWING MOWER	678	HY15-02543	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	06	26K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	04/07/14	KEITH PEARSON	CRENSHAW AUCTION
35907		ALAMO 15' BATWING MOWER	698	HY15-02518	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	07	22K	"	"	"	п
35908		ALAMO 15' BATWING MOWER	699	HY15-02519	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	07	22K	"	"	"	=
36005		ALAMO 15' FLEX MOWER	710	FIN15-01012	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	08	-	II	"	н	п
UNIT	WA	S ATTACHED TO ASSET #36004 - JD 6430 UNIT #	710 AN	D REMOVED (TRACTOR IS S	STILL IN SERVICE) - ESTIMATED V	ALUE	ОГ МО	WER IS 22K			
35873		ALAMO SIDE MOUNT MOWER	686	VM60-05454	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	06	-	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	04/07/14	KEITH PEARSON	SCRAP YARD
UNIT	WA	S ATTACHED TO ASSET #35873 - JD 6420 UNIT #	686 AN	D REMOVED (TRACTOR IS	STILL IN SERVICE) - ESTIMATED V	ALUE	ОГМО	WER IS 22K			
35901		EAGLE 10' WING MOWER	696	10-0232	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	07	10K	CENTRAL MAINTENANCE	04/07/14	KEITH PEARSON	CRENSHAW AUCTION
12269		GRACE PULL ROLLER	597	3358	NOT USED - DECLARE AS SURPLUS/AUCTION	92	55H	"	п	п	II

2) IT Dept.: Final

ASSET#	G R A N T	DESCRIPTION	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL	Y R A C Q	C O S T	LOCATION AT PRELIMINARY	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE	DISPOSED OF BY	FINAL DISPOSAL LOCATION
34069		HP INKJET PRINTER	CN498B8068	Leaks Ink	04	1H	IT STORAGE RM	08/04/14	FELICIA HOPPER	E-WASTE
35021		ACER VERITON PC	PS008047727060003B0100	Bad Power supply & Hard drive	07	1K	"	=	"	"
35560		HOWARD DESKTOP PC	0730564304	Bad Mother Board	04	8H	"	"	"	"
38093		ACER PENTIUM COMPUTER	PSP37060037210C9B42703	Bad power supply	07	5H	n n	=	"	"
40963		DELL PC	H9W5NB1	Bad Mother Board	11	3H	"	"	"	"
41565		DELL OPTIPLEX	JXT2LC1	Blown Caps	11	4H	"	"	"	"
41755		HP PRINTER	HK188AZ01F	power surge	11	2H	"	"	"	"
41885		DELL OPTIPLEX	F4TLPD1	Will not turn on	12	3H	"	=	"	"
42589		DELL OPTIPLEX 745	CN75ZC1	Blown Caps	12	2H	"	=	"	n n
43133		APPLE IPHONE 4	C8TK92P9DP0V	phone over heating	13	5H	"	=	"	n n
43188		APPLE IPHONE 4	C8TK9331DP0V	ringer on off button broken	13	5H	"	"	п	н

3) Sheriff's Patrol: Preliminary

ASSET#	G R A N T	DESCRIPTION	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL		C O S T	LOCATION AT PRELIMINARY
40794		FORD 2008 CV	2FAHP71V68X169170	DECLARE AS SURPLUS / AUCTION	10	14K	SO CENTRAL MAINTENANCE
			HIGH MILEAGE: 163737	UNIT REMOVED FROM SVC AUG 2014			

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>NO</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit E.4.c

- d. Board Attorney Tony Nowak requested adding one item of litigation for consideration of discussion in Executive Session under Litigation. This item was later determined to qualify for executive session.
- e. Mr. Nowak requested adding the MOU for Horn Lake Creek at Stateline Road.

Mr. Nowak said that Road Manager Andy Swims reached out to Southaven to partner on the bridge project. He said that Southaven's Board Attorney asked him to write an MOU for the scope of the project. Mr. Swims said Southaven will be willing to share the local match.

Supervisor Bill Russell made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to hire the Smith, Phillips, Mitchell, Scott and Nowak Law Firm to draft an MOU for the scope of the project with the City of Southaven to partner on the bridge project.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to approve the Agenda with the additions, deletions and changes set forth above.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

F. CONSENT AGENDA

The Board of Supervisors considered the following items on the meeting's Consent Agenda.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Mark Gardner seconded the motion to approve the Consent Agenda as follows except for item number F.5.a:

1. Office of Finance & Accounting

a. Budget Amendments

b. Request for Transfer of Sheriff Seized Funds

The following seized funds listed have been forfeited by court order to be transferred from the seized account to the forfeiture account. Please approve the transfer of the funds.

					Date of
Case #	Defendant	Date Seized	Receipt #	Amount	Forfeiture
2014-27790	Daniel Spain	7/5/14	29358	\$ 700.00	8/19/14
2014-31436	Wesley	7/22/14	29425	\$ 246.00	8/26/14
	Turnipseed				
2014-31927	Anna Horton	7/24/14	29438	\$ 663.00	8/27/14
			TOTAL	\$1,609.00	

Transfer from Sheriff-Seized Fund (123-000-139) \$1,609.00 Transfer to Sheriff-Forfeiture Fund (120-000-238) \$1,609.00

c. Payment for Veterinarian Students

On October 2, 2014 and October 3, 2014, a group of veterinary students and instructors will be here to do spay and neuter procedures on animals at the animal shelter. As part of the agreement, the county agrees to pay for their lodging and reimburse the doctor in charge for their meals. We have reserved four motel rooms at the Super 8 Motel here in Hernando for the staff to stay in and the total cost is \$279.80. So we request that the board approve writing the check to pay for the rooms so they can take the check to the motel at check-in time. The meals will be reimbursed after the stay when the doctor presents us itemized receipts of what was paid. There is no other charge to the county for their services. This is the same procedure that we used the last time the students were here earlier in the year.

d. Inventory Dispositions: Final

1) Sheriff's Dept. – SID Forfeitures – Final (2)

			DATE							
AGENCY	CASE #		DECLARED	MFGR	YEAR	DESCRIPTION	SERIAL #	VALUE		
SID	DECLARATION NOT NEEDED, VEHICLE SIGNED OVER TO SID	MICHELLE PASINO	06/26/13	CHEV	2002	CAVALIER	GNEC13T32G175745	2854.00		
	СО	UNTY ASSET #4	14029		•	T210-L227-F120-D200				
		_								
	PER AMY HENLEY - NO DECLARATION IS NEEDED.									
	VEHICLE WAS SIGNED OVER TO SID.									

AGENCY	CASE #		DATE DECLARED	MFGR	YEAR	DESCRIPTION	SERIAL #	VALUE
SID	2014-20506	GLYNES LANNOM	08/04/14	FORD	2003	TAURUS (GREEN)	1FAFP55253A227413	992.00
		SEIZE	D PROPERTY V	VAS GIVE	N TO W	YMAN HOLLAND		
	2014-20506	WYMAN HOLLAND	08/04/14	FORD	2003	TAURUS (GREEN)	1FAFP55253A227413	
		SEIZE	D PROPERTY	WAS THE	N FORF	EITED TO DC SID		
COUNTY ASSET #44030						T2:	10-L227-F120-D200	

2) Sheriff's Department – Patrol: Accident – Preliminary / Final

38239	FORD 2009 CV	2FAHP71V99X131255	ACCIDENT 08/21/14 @ 08:47 AM	09	2H	SO - CENTRAL MAINTENANCE
		VEHICLE TOTALLED	TRAVELERS INSURANCE			

3) Facility Management – Final

ASSET #	GRANT	DESCRIPTION	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL	YR ACQ	COST	LOCATION AT PRELIMINARY	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE	DISPOSED OF BY	FINAL DISPOSAL LOCATION
39700		EMERSON TV	J18557030	WILL NOT POWER UP / NON REPAIRAB;E DUE TO COST	08	5H	OLD JAIL	06/16/14	TONY MARTIN	E- WASTE
42670		HITEKER TV	HO89022 HT009037	BROKEN SCREEN / NON REPAIRABLE DUE TO COST	13	3H	JAIL COMPLEX	11	11	II .
42666		HITEKER TV	H089022 HT009014	WILL NOT POWER UP / NON REPAIRABLE DUE TO COST	13	3H	JAIL COMPLEX	"	11	"
36608		GOODMAN A/C	0503729012	COMPRESS WENT OUT / NON REPAIRABLE DUE TO COST	05	9Н	OB HEALTH DEPT	11	"	SCARP YARD

4) Sheriff Dept. – SID: Final

ASSET#	G R A N T	DESCRIPTION	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL	Y R A C	C O S T	LOCATION AT PRELIMINARY	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE	DISPOSED OF BY	FINAL DISPOSAL LOCATION	DATE OF FINAL APPROVAL
42397		2001 GMC Yukon (silver)	1GKEC13TX1J191354	Auction-Surplus	12	31H	SID LOT	07/07/14	AMY HENLEY	GOVDEALS. COM	
43377		2007 Mazda B2300 (gray)	4F4YR12D37PM01551	Auction-Surplus	13	8K	"	"	"	"	
43804		1990 Izuzu Amigo (black)	JAABL01E8L9804631	Auction-Surplus	14	17H	"	"	"	"	
43793		2004 Saturn Ion (black)	1G8AZ52F14Z192288	Auction-Surplus	14	15H	"	"	"	"	
43794		2004 BMW 525 (gray)	WBANA53514B845874	Auction-Surplus	14	6K	"	"	"	"	
43803		1997 Chevrolet Ext Cab Z71 (gold)	2GCEK19R7V1167975	Auction-Surplus	14	5K	"	"	II .	"	
43805		2005 Nissian Altima (tan)	1N4AL11D75C285780	Auction-Surplus	14	2K	"	"	II .	"	
**REMOVED) FR	OM LISTING BY REQUEST									
38494		2008 Ford F-150 (black)	1FTPW12V58FB77535	Auction-Surplus	80	25K	SID LOT	07/07/14	REF	MOVED FROM	I LIST
***ADDED T	ADDED TO LISTING BY REQUEST										
36859		2006 Chevy Impala (maroon)	2G1WD58C769272806	Auction-Surplus	06	22K	SID LOT	07/21/14	п	11	

5) Sheriff Dept. – SO & SID – Weapon Trade in / Final

	g				Y						
ASSET #	R A N	DESCRIPTION	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL	A C	0 9	LOCATION AT PRELIMINARY	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE	DISPOSED OF BY	FINAL DISPOSAL LOCATION	DATE OF FINAL APPROVAL
36186	т	CLOCK 33 DISTOL	HECOCE	DECLARE SURBLUS / FOR TRADE IN	٥	FIL	CHEDITE DEDT		LESLEY LOFTON	TRADE IN	
	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES365	DECLARE SURPLUS / FOR TRADE -IN		-	SHERIFF DEPT	10/07/13	"	VENDOR "	
36187 36188	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES366 HES367		05 05	5H 5H					
36190		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES369		05	5H					
36191	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES370		05	5H					
36192 36193	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES371 HES372		05 05	5H 5H					
36194		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES373		05	5H					
36195		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES374		05	5H					
36196 36197	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES385 HES386		05 05	5H 5H		-			
36198		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES387		05	5H					
36199		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES388		05	5H					
36200	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES389		05	5H					
36201 36202	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES390 HES391		05 05	5H 5H					
36203		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES392		05	5H					
36204		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES393		05	5H					
36205 36206	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES394 HES345		05 05	5H 5H		-			
36207		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES346		05	5H					
36208		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES347		05	5H					
36209 36210	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES348 HES349		05 05	5H 5H					
36211	F	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES350		05	5H					
36212		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES351		05	5H					
36213	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES352		05 0E	5H					
36214 36215	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES353 HES354		05 05	5H 5H					
36216	İ	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES375		05	5H					
36217	Ē	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES376		05	5H					
36218	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES377		05 05	5H					
36219 36220	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES378 HES379		05 05	5H 5H		-			
36221	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES380		05	5H					
36222	F	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES381		05	5H					
36223 36224	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES382 HES383		05 05	5H 5H					
36225	İ	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES384	н	05	5H					
36226		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES405		05	5H					
36227 36228	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES406		05 05	5H 5H					
36228	F	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES407 HES408		05 05	5H					
36230		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES409		05	5H					
36231	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES410		05	5H					
36232 36233	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES411 HES412		05 05	5H 5H		-			
36234		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES413		05	5H					
36235		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES414		05	5H					
36236 36237	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES355 HES356		05 05	5H 5H					
36238	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES357		05	5H					
36239		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES358		05	5H					
36240	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES359		05	5H					
36241 36242	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES360 HES361		05 05	5H 5H					
36243		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES362		05	5H					
36244	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES363		05	5H					
36245 36246	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES364 HES395		05 05	5H 5H					
36247		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES396		05	5H					
36248		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES397		05	5H					
36249 36250	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES398 HES399		05 05	5H 5H					
36250	F	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES400		05	5H					
36252		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES401		05	5H					
36253	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES402		05 05	5H					
36254 36255	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HES403 HES404		05 05	5H 5H					
36328	İ	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HKR589		05	5H					
36329		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HKR586		05	5H					
36331 36332	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HKR585 HKR588		05 05	5H 5H					
36333	t	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HKR587	н	05	5H					
36347	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	HKR573		05	5H					
38485 38486	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	LZY095 LZY093		08 08	4H 4H					
38487	t	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	LZY091		08	4H					
38488	F	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	LZY092		08	4H					
38489 38490	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	LZY094		08 08	4H 4H					
38490	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	LZY096 LZY097		08	4H 4H		-			
38741		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MNZ692		09	4H					
38742	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MNZ693		09	4H					
38743 38744	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MNZ694 MNZ690		09 09	4H 4H					
38745	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MNZ691	н	09	4H					
38765		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF124		09	4H					
38766	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF125		09 09	4H					
38767 38768	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF126 MSF127		09 09	4H 4H					
38769	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF128		09	4H					
38770	Ĺ	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF119		09	4H					
38771 38772	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF122 MSF121		09 09	4H 4H					
38773	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF121 MSF123		09	4H 4H					
38774		GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF120		09	4H					
38775	L	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF115		09	4H					
38776 38777	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF117 MSF116		09 09	4H 4H					
38778	İ	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF118		09	4H					
38779	Γ	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF111		09	4H					
38780	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF112		09 09	4H					
38781 38782	H	GLOCK 22 PISTOL GLOCK 22 PISTOL	MSF113 MSF114		09 09	4H 4H					
	-	×1 = -		·							

36173 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK398 " 05 4H " " "	
36174 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK400 " 05 4H " " " 36176 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK401 " 05 4H " " " " 36177 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK402 " 05 4H " " " " 36178 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK403 " 05 4H " " " " 36179 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK403 " 05 4H " " " " 36179 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK404 " 05 4H " " " " 36180 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK405 " 05 4H " " " " 36181 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " " 36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " " 36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZK214 " 04 5H " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZC215 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZC216 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZC216 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZC216 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	
36176 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK401 " 05 4H " " " 36177 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK402 " 05 4H " " " 36178 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK403 " 05 4H " " " 36179 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK404 " 05 4H " " " 36180 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK405 " 05 4H " " " 36181 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " 36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK407 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	
36177 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK402 " 05 4H " " " 36178 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK403 " 05 4H "	
36177 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK403 " 05 4H " " " 36179 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK404 " 05 4H " " " 36180 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK405 " 05 4H " " " 36181 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " 36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK407 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZZ409 " 05 4H " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZZ409 " 05 4H " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZ240 " 05 4H " " " " " " " 36184 GLOCK 25 PISTOL GZ240 " 04 5H " " " " " " " 37186 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GZ240 " 04 5H " " " " " " " 37186 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GZ240 " 04 5H " " " " " " " 37186 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GZ240 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " 37186 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GZ240 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " 37186 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GZ240 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
36179 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK404 " 05 4H " " " 36180 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK405 " 05 4H " " " " 36181 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " " 36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK407 " 05 4H " " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36330 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " " " 36334 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " " " 36334 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY380 " 05 4H " " " " 36336 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GKC214 " 04 5H " " " " 3764 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GKC215 " 04 5H " " " " 3766 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " " " " 3766 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " " " " 3766 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " " " " 3766 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " " " " " 3766 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " " " " " " 3766 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " 3766 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
36180 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK405 " 05 4H " " " 36181 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " " 36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK407 " 05 4H " " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " " " " 361834 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " " " " 361834 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY380 " 05 4H " " " " " 361834 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY380 " 05 4H " " " " " 361834 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY380 " 05 4H " " " " " " 36183965 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC214 " 04 5H " " " " " " 36183966 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC215 " 04 5H " " " " " " 36183968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " " " " " 36183968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " " " " " " 36183969 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC218 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	0 0 0 0 0 0
36181 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK406 " 05 4H " " " " 36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK407 " 05 4H " " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " " 36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " " " 36330 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " " " 36334 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY380 " 05 4H " " " " 33965 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC214 " 04 5H " " " " 33966 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC215 " 04 5H " " " " 33967 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " " " 33968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " " " 33969 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC218 " 04 5H " " " "	1
36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK407 " 05 4H " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H "	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
36182 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK408 " 05 4H " " " 36183 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H "	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
36184 GLOCK 23 PISTOL GZK409 " 05 4H " " 36330 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " 36334 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY380 " 05 4H " " 33965 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC214 " 04 5H " " 33966 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC215 " 04 5H " " 33967 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " 33968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " 33969 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC218 " 04 5H " "	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
36330 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY381 " 05 4H " " " 36334 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY380 " 05 4H " " " " 33965 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC214 " 04 5H " " " " 33966 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC215 " 04 5H " " " " 33968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " " " 33968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " " " 33969 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC218 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	n n n
36334 GLOCK 23 PISTOL HKY380 " 05 4H " " " 33965 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC214 " 04 5H " " " " 33966 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC215 " 04 5H " " " " 33968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " " " 33968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " " " 33969 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC218 " 04 5H " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	n n n
33965 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC214 " 04 5H " " "	" "
33966 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC215 " 04 5H "	п
33967 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC216 " 04 5H " " 33968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " 33969 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC218 " 04 5H " "	п
33968 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 " 04 5H " " " 33969 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC218 " 04 5H " " "	
33969 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC217 04 5H " "	
33970 GLOCK 35 PISTOL	II .
33370 GEOCK 33 FISTOL GROZIS U4 311	"
33971 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC220 " 04 5H " " "	"
33972 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC221 " 06 5H " " "	II .
33973 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC222 " 04 5H " " "	n .
33974 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC223 " 04 5H " " "	"
33975 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC224 " 04 5H " " "	"
33976 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC225 " 04 5H " " "	"
33977 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC226 " 04 5H " " "	"
33978 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC227 " 04 5H " " "	"
33979 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC228 " 04 5H " " "	"
33980 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC229 " 04 5H " " "	"
33981 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC230 " 04 5H " " "	"
33982 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC231 " 04 5H " " "	"
33983 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC232 " 04 5H " " "	"
33984 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GKC233 " 04 5H " " "	"
34062 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GTC633 " 05 4H " " "	"
34063 GLOCK 35 PISTOL GTC632 " 05 4H " " "	"
38865 GLOCK 35 PISTOL MZU902 " 09 5H " " "	"
38866 GLOCK 35 PISTOL MZU901 " 09 5H " " "	"

MP5A2 SUB MACHINE GUN	62-357668	DECLARE SURPLUS / FOR TRADE -IN	94	9H	SHERIFF DEPT	10/07/13	LESLEY LOFTON	TRADE IN
MP5A2 SUB MACHINE GUN	62-357667	"	94	9H	"	"	"	VENDOR "
MP5A2 SUB MACHINE GUN /SWAT	62-357666	11	94	9H	"		"	"
,	†	11			"	"	"	"
MP5A2 SUB MACHINE GUN	62-352052	"	93	9H	"	"	"	"
MP5A2 SUB MACHINE GUN	62-352053	"	93	9H	"	"	"	
GLOCK 40 PISTOL	FSB123	"	7	1H	"	"	"	"
SPRINGFIELD 9MM HANDGUN /VAU	US921542		7	8H				
JENNINGS 9MM PISTOL	1584932	11	9	2H	"	"	"	"
SMITH & WESSON 40 CAL	PDJ1225	II.	9	3H	"	"	"	"
Rossi .38 Revolver	W208655	11	9	1H	"	"	"	II .
BURGA HW3 HANDGUN	300787	II.	9	1H	"	"	"	"
REMINGTON EXPRESS SHOTGUN	C462119M	II .	10	1H	"	"	"	"
Winchester 22 Rifle	B1255924	п	10	2H	"	"	"	"
REMINGTON MUZZLE LOADER	ML233081	п	10	2H	"	"	"	"
.22 CAL SHORT REVOLVER	118590	"	10	1H	"	"	"	"
REMINGTON 870 SHOTGUN	C714562M	н	10	1H	"	"	"	"
RUGER P89 HANDGUN	30534950	11	10	1H	"	"	"	"
SMITH & WESSON 38CAL PISTOL	154710	II.	11	1H	"	ıı .	"	ıı .
JIMENEZ 9MM PISTOL	70784	п	11	1H	"	"	"	"
Bushmaster AR-15	L110472	"	11	7H	"	"		"
SAVAGE 93R17&BUTTER CREEK SC	80481	п	11	3H	"	"	"	ıı .
	†	п	11	5H	"	"	"	ıı .
Winchester Model 70 .270 Rifle	G2417162		-		"	"	"	"
GLOCK 21 45CAL PISTOL	BGY035		11	4H	"	"	"	"
GLOCK 31 45CAL PISTOL	CDA123	11	11	4H				"
DESERT EAGLE 41/44 PSTL W/5M	2417162/8000722	11	11	1K	"	"	"	
SPRINGFIELD 1911-A1 45CAL PS	N402243	11	11	9H	"	"	"	"
STEYR M40 PISTOL 40CAL	4806	"	11	3H	"	"	"	"
H&R INC 22CAL REVOLVER MOD 9	AE79669	п	11	2H	"		"	II .
Colt M1991A1 .45 Pistol	2762805	II .	11	1K	"	"	"	"
SIGSAUER MOSQUITO 22CAL SEMI	A016402	п	11	2H	"	"	"	II .
BROWING 22CAL SEMI-AUTO PIST	515MY20077	11	11	3H	"	"	"	"
SMITH&WESSON 357 MAG REVOLVE	42947	II .	11	5H	"	ıı .	"	ıı .
SMITH&WESSON 40CAL PISTOL	PAL4029	11	11	3H	"	"	"	ıı .
SMITH&WESSON 40CAL PISTOL	PAH6048	п	11	3H	"	"	"	"
MOSSBERG 12GA PUMP SHOTGUN	L724513	II II	11	2H	"	"	п	"
MOSSBERG 12GA PUMP SHOTGUN	L547421	11	11		"	"		"
BERETTA 22CAL SEMI-AUTO	DAA221301	п	11	3H	"	"	"	"
	113NN32621	,,	11	3H				
BROWNING FIELD 12GA SHOTGUN		"		13H	"			" "
BROWNING 22CAL PISTOL	19597U2		11	5H		"	"	
STEVENS 20GA SHOTGUN	N/A	ii.	11	1H	"	"	"	"
REVELATION 410GA SHOTGUN	N/A	11	11	1H	"	"	"	ıı .
BERSA THUNDER 45 CAL HANDGUN	594431	"	11	3H	"	"	"	"
REMINGTON 16GA SHOT GUN	5576634	II .	11	3H	"		"	ıı .
JIMENEZ ARMS 9MM HANDGUN	77146	п	11	2H	"	"	"	"
DAVIS IND'S 22CAL DERRINGER	133041	п	11	1H	"	"	"	"
LLAMA 22CAL REVOLVER	709136	п	11	1H	"	"	"	"
REMINGTON 870 12GA SHOTGUN	5044487V	п	11	1H	"	"	"	ıı .
Winchester 190.22	773181	п	11	2H	"	"	"	ıı .
REMINTON 22 CAL RIFLE	N/A	11	11	1H	"	"	"	п
MARLIN MOD 60 22 CAL LONG RI	35438063	ıı .	11	1H	ıı ı	"	"	"
	6945J	п	11		"	"	п	
COLT LAWMAN MKIII 357 REVOLV				2H	"	"	"	"
BROWNING HUNTER 12GA SHOTGUN	113MM24520	"	11	5H	"		"	"
REMINGTON 22CAL RIFLE	1878240		11	1H		"		
BROWNING BAR II 30-06	107NP03423	"	11	5H	"	"	"	"
GLENFIELD MODEL 60 22 CAL RI	25251603	"	11	1H	"	"	"	II .
NORINCO SKS 7 62 CAL RIFLE	1408422	"	11	1H	"	"	"	"
REMINGTON MODEL 7400 30-06 R	B8414015	п	11	3H	"	"	n n	II .
CITADEL 45 CAL HANDGUN & 2 M	CIT004279	11	11	6H	"	"	"	"
SMITH & WESSON .38 Mod 10-5	D508838	II .	11	3H	"	"	"	"
Perdersoli Handgun	H9133	п	12	2H	"	"	"	п
Para Ordnance Handgun 45ACP	P113845	п	12	2H	"	"	"	"
Ruger Rifle 30.06	13120867	II .	12	2H	"	"	"	ıı .
Ruger Rifle 10/22	12550492	п	12	2H	"	"	"	"
S&W .40 Pistol	DST2583	п	12	2H	"	"	"	п
Mossberg Maverick 88 Shotgun 12 Ga	MV38148A	п	12	2H	"	"	"	"
RUGER 270 RIFLE WITH LEUPOLD SCOPE	79001446	п			"	"	"	п
	+		12	2H	"	"	"	"
SILVER LORCIN .25 HANDGUN w/ MAG	148897	"	12	1H	"	"	"	"
Winchester 12 Ga Shotgun	830393	<u>"</u>	12	1H				

ASSET #	G R A N	DESCRIPTION	SERIAL#	ISSUE/REASON FOR DISPOSAL	Y R A C	C O S T	LOCATION AT PRELIMINARY	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE	DISPOSED OF BY	FINAL DISPOSAL LOCATION
34483		SMITH & WESSON - REVOLVER	J426292	DECLARED SURPLUS / FOR TRADE-IN	06	1H	WHITEHOUSE	12/2/2013	LESLEY LOFTON	TRADE - IN VENDOR
42035		TAURUS PISTOL	NZK33939	п	12	2H	"	"	"	"

2. Procurement Card Log

							TOTAL		
Date Out	Time Out	Time In	Vendor	Department	Employee	Description of Purchase	RECEIPT	Issues, if any	
8/20/2014	8:00	8:30	Go Daddy	IT	Felicia	domain renewal	\$ 72.39	none	
						LCD, Display Clamshell,			
8/4/2014	8:30 AM	4:00 PM	Apple Store	IT	Evan Rogers	15" MBP Retina	\$680.68	none	
8/4/2014	8:30 AM	4:00 PM	Apple Store	IT	Evan Rogers	Hardware Repair Labor	\$39.00	none	
						9.75% Tax (Purchased in			
8/4/2014	8:30 AM	4:00 PM	Apple Store	IT	Evan Rogers	TN)	\$ 70.17	8/4/14 total: \$789.85	
						filter replacement for			
8/8/2014			FiltersFast.com	Juvenile Drug Cour	t Sammy Webb	water system used for	\$ 104.98	none	

3. Establish as Part of the Formal Record and Enter into the Board's Minutes

- a. Agreement with the DeSoto County School District for the County to declare surplus of a 2007 Crown Victoria, white VIN 2FAHP71W37X128101 for the District to purchase
- b. DeSoto County School Budget: Fiscal Year 2014 2015
- 4. Road Department: Safety Report
- 5. Approval of Special Prosecutors to fill in for Craig Treadway
- a. Elizabeth Owens to fill in for Craig Treadway on Nov. 5, 2014 Approved on last motion under Consent Agenda
- b. Bill Seale to fill in for Craig Treadway for a couple of hours for an emergency hearing on 9/12/2014
- 6. EMS Billing Report

EMS BILLING REPORT

August 2014

Number of ambulance runs billed: 110

Amount billed: \$39,989.00 Amount collected: \$27,982.29 Un-collectable amount: \$1,355.29

	Eudora	Lewisburg	Walls
Dispatched Calls:	63	78	50
Refusals:	23	15	20
Standby Only:	0	4	2
Billed:	36	48	24
Other:	4	11	4

Other = unable to locate patient, treated and transferred, treated and released, no treatment required, no patient found, dead at scene, cancelled and unknown.

July 2014

Number of ambulance runs billed: 133

Amount billed: \$46,325.00 Amount collected: \$26,101.34 Un-collectable amount: \$1,600.94

Fiscal Year To Date Collections:

Number of ambulance runs billed: 1496

Amount billed: \$520,593.07 Amount collected: \$330,119.88

7. Chancery Clerk (2) - \$1,350.00 \$1,050.00

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

Later in the meeting, County Administrator, Vanessa Lynchard, said that she spoke to Mr. Treadway's office regarding item number F.5a regarding special prosecutors. They told Ms. Lynchard that Mr. Treadway would be in another court that day and needed someone to fill in for him.

Supervisor Bill Russell made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to approve item number F.5.a for special prosecutor Elizabeth Owens to fill in for Mr. Treadway due to him having to appear in another Court and item number F.5.b as detailed in Exhibit F.5.b.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit F

G. OLD BUSINESS

1. Environmental Services - Enforcement: PUBLIC HEARING Carried over from July 21, 2014 Board of Supervisors meeting 2819 Woodland Lake Rd Hernando, MS 38632 Parcel# 3 09 4 18 03 0 Lot #00056 00 Mississippi Code Section 19-5-105 Litter Ordinance Violation Hearing

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to open the Public Hearing 2819 Woodland Lake Rd Hernando, MS 38632 Parcel# 3 09 4 18 03 0 Lot #00056 00 Mississippi Code Section 19-5-105 Litter Ordinance.

Environmental Services Manager Ray Laughter said this is a follow-up from the last meeting. Mr. Laughter said the owner has made progress but still is not totally in compliance. The President of the Homeowners Association, Shirley Harris agreed to give them a little more time to clean up the property.

No action was necessary on this item.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Bill Russell seconded the motion to recess the Public Hearing until October 6, 2014.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit G.1

2. Board Attorney: Request approval of Agreement with Olive Branch for purchase of Vac-Con Truck by the County Road Department

Board Attorney Tony Nowak said the Board recently authorized him to draw up an agreement with the City Of Olive Branch for the County Road Department to purchase the Vac-Con Truck. Mr. Nowak presented the agreement for Board approval, the Board President to sign the agreement and to cut the check for \$60,000 for the purchase of the Vac-Con Truck from the City of Olive Branch.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell made the motion and Supervisor Mark Gardner seconded the motion to approve the agreement for the purchase of the Vac-Con Truck from the City of Olive Branch in the amount of \$60,000 and the Board President to sign the agreement and the county to cut the check.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>NO</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit G.2

H. NEW BUSINESS

1. Proclamation of Emergency

Chief Deputy of EMA Chris Olson said due to the storms and flooding last week, DeSoto County declared a Proclamation of Local Emergency. He said they submitted a Resolution Requesting Governor Bryant to Proclaim a State of Emergency; Governor Bryant approved the State of Emergency. Governor Bryant visited DeSoto County and observed the flooding and damages.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell commended Josh Harper and their team, the Sheriff's Department and Police Departments, all of the Fire Departments, Alderman, Utility workers and everyone who came together to work as a team for the County. She said they received a lot of assistance and appreciated everyone who helped.

Chief Deputy Olson said this was quite an eventful day. He said the County received close to ten inches of rain in some areas. He said the U.S. Coast Guard came into Southaven to help and the Sheriff's Search and Rescue did a great job as well. He said 20 people were rescued in Southaven in Plum Point Subdivision; more than 100 people in the Savannah Creek Apartments were rescued.

Supervisor Harvey Lee said that 40 plus people were rescued from Dancy and the Pasadena area. The America Red Cross did a wonderful job and they are still here working. He said the Horn Lake Fire Department assisted and did a great job as well.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell said that she wanted to commend Road Manager Andy Swims and all of his employees. They did a phenomenal job and they were all over the county repairing roads. They were tremendous.

Mr. Swims said it was an amazing day. He said the employees did a great job; they did a lot of work and it was incredible. He said the radios were buzzing all day. Mr. Swims said they are still working very hard. They will continue looking at the areas again.

Supervisor Mark Gardner said he reiterated all that is being said about the county employees.

Chief Deputy Olson said the emergency number is 232-469-8017.

Supervisor Caldwell said GIS would also be involved during this process.

Supervisor Caldwell said that Tate County and MDOT had also called to offer assistance.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Lee Caldwell seconded the motion to approve the Proclamation of Emergency as presented by Chief Deputy Chris Olson.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

2. 9:30am Business Incentive Request

a. Business Investment Incentive Request: Smith & Nephew, Inc. / dba Syncera – Free Port Warehouse

Lori Jordan with Smith & Nephew said she is a DeSoto County resident. Ms. Jordan said they are located in Crossroads Distribution Center. She said that Smith & Nephew is a global device manufacturer and they make orthopedics, reconstruction devices, and trauma devices for severely damaged bones.

Ms. Jordan said they have a new brand name which is Syncera and are requesting a Free Port warehouse exemption. They are taking the standard product and renaming it Syncera, presenting it to the hospitals which will include a software package. Millstone will be doing the packaging and distribution. Ms. Jordan said there is \$1.6 million in product currently setting at Millstone.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Harvey Lee seconded the motion to approve a Free Port Warehouse exemption for Smith & Nephew / dba Syncera located at Crossroad Distribution Center.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.2.a

b. Business Investment Incentive: Name Change for Committee and Tax Packet

President and CEO of the DeSoto County Economic Council Jim Flanagan said they wanted to use a better phrase than tax exemption because that could be misleading. A lot of people think it includes all property taxes and it does not. The new name and packet will be more accurate when presented to the Board.

County Administrator Vanessa Lynchard said she has heard a lot of people talking about tax exemption and they think it includes all property taxes. She said these businesses actually protect residents.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin said it would be a good change because sometimes a business thinks the tax exemption is for all of their taxes.

Ms. Lynchard said that Business Investment Incentive also communicates the partnership between the County and the company.

Board Attorney Tony Nowak said it can still be referred to as tax exemption.

No action was necessary on this item.

See Exhibit H.2.b

3. 10:00 a.m. Legislative Requests

Supervisor Lee Caldwell introduced Mr. Steve Gray of MAS and thanked him for attending the meeting. She said Mr. Gray has a great rapport with the Board.

Mr. Gray said he has been looking at what associations do in other states to find ways to help counties save money. Everything is very tight right now. Mr. Gray said they can discuss that at another time.

Supervisor Caldwell thanked the Legislative Delegations for their work on concerns for DeSoto County and introduced them as follows:

Senator David Parker

Representative Forrest Hamilton

Representative Gene Alday

Representative Pat Nelson

Representative Wanda Jennings

Representative Bill Kinkade

Senator Chris Massey

District 19

District 6

District 25

District 40

District 7

District 52

District 52

Representative Jennings said she loved to attend the DeSoto County Board of Supervisor's meeting because it is conducted so well.

Mr. Gray said we are looking at ways to help the counties save money. He said they have rolled out two new programs and when they are approved, they will be released to Desoto County. It is already being used successfully by other counties. He said it would be a two year phase to fully fund the homestead reimbursement. The first phase has already been completed.

Mr. Gray said as the 2015 Session moves forward, they want to be precise on legislative issues and find ways to help the counties deal with infrastructure funding. When counties take on roads, it absorbs more of their budget. He said \$32 million for Road & Bridge has already been passed by the Legislature.

Mr. Gray said they are looking at long and short term funding to get us up to \$300 million. He said they will have to watch funding and take some measures.

Mr. Gray talked about state aid projects. He said they would use a portion of LSBP money for state aid projects. He said the debt collection bill is clearing the House and said they would join the municipal league on this issue. There was an increase on funding homestead exemption. Mr. Gray said there has been talk about a franchise tax and they will keep a careful watch on it. There is a mandated exception for equipment and they will oppose it all the way so it doesn't end up like Senate Bill 3100. He said they want to ratify proposals, identify problems, work on how to resolve them and communicate to everyone.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell said that she and Supervisor Mark Gardner attended last year's MAS Workshop and it was extremely helpful.

b. Board of Supervisors – Presentation of Local Concerns

The 2015 Legislative requests were read and discussed as follows:

Allow Tax Collector to Settle Funds Directly to Community Colleges - Tom Arnold

Issue: Currently community college funds are settled to the Chancery Clerk and then deposited into the Community College Funds. The county then transmits the funds to the community college district.

Request: Amend Mississippi Code Section 37-29-143 to allow the taxes collected for community colleges to be settled directly from the Tax Collector's office.

Representative Kinkade asked Mr. Arnold if there is a delay. Mr. Arnold said no; since it is two funds instead of one, it would eliminate a step.

Approval of Service Contracts Pat McLeod

Issue:

- The Office of the Attorney General has opined that Counties are not authorized to pay for services in advance. The statutory procedures governing the approval and issuance of checks require the submission of a lawful claim, i.e., a claim that goods and services have been delivered or performed, prior to payment being made.
- Prepayment of certain services are now **considered standard industry practice**. Examples of these types of prepayments include but are not limited to the items listed below.
- 1) Rent of land, buildings, office space, office equipment
- 2) Maintenance fees
- 3) Rent of Post Office Box
- 4) Software Maintenance Fees
- 5) Registration fees for conventions and conference and deposits for hotel fees.
 - Counties will lose money by not being able to adhere to standard industry practices.

Ex: Justice Court owns money counting equipment. The maintenance contract must be <u>prepaid</u> - \$447 per year - and this includes all labor and parts. Without a contract, for a service call only - \$215 (no repair or part replacement included). New motor - \$700. The vendor will not negotiate to be paid on a monthly basis.

• Currently the Mississippi Agency Accounting Policies and Procurement Manual allows for the waiver of certification so that items can be paid in advance.

Request:

Establish Mississippi Code Section 17-25-31 to allow governing authorities to adopt rules and regulations for departments, divisions, agencies, and offices under their governance for the waiver of certification that services have been received or performed in circumstances where prepayment is a standard industry practice.

Draft Legislation

AN ACT TO ESTABLISH MISSISSIPPI CODE ANNOTATED SECTION 17-25-31 TO AUTHORIZE THE GOVERNING AUTHORITIES OF COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES TO ADOPT RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR OFFICES, DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES, DIVISIONS, OR BOARDS UNDER THEIR GOVERNANCE FOR THE WAIVER OF CERTIFICATION THAT GOODS OR SERVICES HAVE BEEN RECEIVED OR PERFORMED. Section One: Article 1 of Chapter 25 of Title 17 Mississippi Code of 1972 is hereby amended to include Section 17-25-31.

Section Two: Section 17-25-31, Mississippi Code of 1972 provides as follows:

17-25-31. (a) The Governing Authority of Counties and Municipalities may in their discretion, adopt rules and regulations for the offices, departments, divisions, agencies, or boards under their governance for the waiver of certification that services have been received or performed. Such waivers may pertain to contracts of limited time periods, for the lease of office space and equipment, computer software and licensing, maintenance services of any kind, and hotel and conference registration fees. Prepayment of these types of services are considered standard industry practice.

Section Three: This act shall take effect and be enforced from and after its passage.

The following is an excerpt from the Mississippi Agency Accounting Policies and Procedures (MAAPP) Manual which contains information regarding the Statewide Automated Accounting System (SAAS), Statewide Payroll and Human Resource System (SPAHRS), and other state accounting issues.

Reporting requirements. The Department of Finance and Administration/ Office of Financial Reporting is responsible for maintaining the manual.

11 Expenditures:

- STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION
- TOPIC: EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY ,SUB-SECTION 11.20.15
- SECTION: INPUT PROCEDURE, ISSUANCE DATE July 19, 2010
- SUB-SECTION: WAIVER LETTERS , REVISION NUMBER 11-003
- Page 1 of 2
- WAIVER LETTERS: WAIVER OF CERTIFICATION
- In accordance with section 7-7-27, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), DFA may waive, under certain circumstances, the requirement for certification that the goods or services rendered have been delivered or performed. "Such waivers may pertain to, but should not be limited to, prepaid items, service contracts of limited time periods for lease of office space and equipment, computer software and sub grantee disbursements under federal grant program."
- PREPAYMENT WAIVERS
- Waivers may be granted for payments to Vendors before the goods or services are received or performed. Exhibit 11.20.15A is an example of the letter to be used. The agency must explain the circumstances or reasons why they are requesting the waiver. A copy of the invoice or contract showing the prepayment terms must be attached to the request.
- Purchases where prepayments of goods or services are considered standard industry practice do not require waiver
 letters be submitted to DFA. Examples of these types of prepayments include but are not limited to the items listed
 below.
- MONTHLY: (no more than 12 payments in a fiscal year): rent (i.e. land, buildings, office space, office equipment, etc.), maintenance fees, operating fees (i.e. application service provider ASP fees) and leases (i.e. vehicle, equipment)
- ANNUAL: (no more than 1 payment in a fiscal year): rent of a post office box, membership dues, subscriptions, software maintenance fees, etc.
- TRAVEL: registration fees for conventions and conferences and deposits for hotel fees

- MULTI-YEAR: All multi-year prepayments require a waiver. For all multi-year prepayments of information technology services and software licensing less than \$15,000.00, a waiver letter requesting an exemption for this prepayment along with a copy of the justification for the prepayment will need to be submitted to DFA.
- DFA has granted ITS the authority to approve contracts for multi-year prepayments of information technology services and software licensing for agencies under ITS purview. Any purchase that involves multi-year prepayment of services and licenses in an amount greater than \$15,000.00 will require ITS approval. A copy of the project specific prepayment approval letter from ITS will need to be attached to the PV when submitted to BFC in place of a request to DFA.
- If federal funds are used to prepay multi-year services or licensing, agencies will need to ensure that the prepayment conforms to the federal grant regulations and requirements. Agencies will need to provide documentation to DFA that the prepayment conforms to the federal grant regulations and requirements.

Exhibit 11.20.15A Rev. 7/11

Example of Pre-payment Waiver Letter used by State Offices State Agency Letterhead

Date

Diane Langham
Department of Finance and Administration
Office of Fiscal Management, Director
701 Woolfolk Building, Suite B
P. O. Box 1060
Jackson, MS 39215
Dear Ms. Langham:

In accordance with Section 7-7-27, Mississippi Code Ann. (1972), the *Agency Name* requests a waiver of certification that goods or services have been received or performed related to a request for payment in the amount of *Dollar Amount*, payable to *Vendor Name*. Payment has been requested by *Due Date*.

State the circumstances or reasons for your exemption request.

Upon receipt of a letter granting an exemption, a payment voucher(s) will be generated and forwarded to DFA along with an invoice for goods or services. A copy of DFA's exemption letter must be attached to the payment voucher(s). If you have any questions or need any documentation, please contact *Name & Phone #*.

In the 2014 Regular Session, Universities and Colleges were given the authority to waive certification that goods or services have been received as shown in the Legislation below:

HOUSE BILL NO. 433 (As Sent to Governor)

```
AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION 7-7-27, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO REQUIRE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF STATE INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND THE LOCAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF A COMMUNITY OR JUNIOR COLLEGE TO ADOPT RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR INSTITUTIONS UNDER THEIR GOVERNANCE FOR THE WAIVER OF CERTIFICATION THAT GOODS OR SERVICES HAVE BEEN RECEIVED OR PERFORMED; AND FOR RELATED PURPOSES.
 8
            BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:
            SECTION 1. Section 7-7-27, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
 9
10
            7-7-27. (1) Except as provided otherwise in subsection (2)
11
12
      of this section, all claims against the state as the result of
13
     purchases, services, salaries, travel expense, or other
      encumbrances made or liabilities incurred by any officer,
14
     department, division, board, commission, institution or other
15
     agency of the state authorized to incur such obligations, whether
16
     as the result of the issuance of purchase orders, as hereinabove
17
18
     provided, or not, shall be filed with, certified and approved by
19
     the agency incurring such obligation pursuant to rules and
21
     Administration. These rules and regulations shall set forth
22
     certain circumstances where certification by the approving
23
     officers that the goods and services have been received or
24
     performed may be waived by the Department of Finance and
25
     Administration. Such waivers may pertain to, but should not be
26
     limited to, service contracts of limited time periods for lease of
27
     office space and equipment, computer software and subgrantee
28
     disbursements under federal grant programs.
29
           (2) The Board of Trustees of State Institutions of Higher
30
     Learning and the local board of trustees of a community or junior
31
     college shall adopt rules and regulations for institutions under
32
     their governance for the waiver of certification that goods or
33
     services have been received or performed. These rules and
34
     regulations shall be consistent with those established by the
35
     Department of Finance and Administration under subsection (1) of
     this section.
```

Authority for the County to Recover the Actual Cost Incurred in Enforcing the Zoning Laws - Board Attorney Tony Nowak

Issue: MS Code Section 17-1-19 addresses zoning ordinances and provides "In case any building or structure is erected, constructed, reconstructed, altered, repaired, converted or maintained, or any building, structure, or land, is used in violation of the zoning law or of any ordinance or other regulation made under authority conferred hereby, the proper local authorities of any county or municipality, in addition to other remedies, may institute any appropriate action or proceedings, to prevent such unlawful erection, construction, reconstruction, alteration, repair, conversion, maintenance or use, to restrain, correct, or abate such violation, to prevent the occupancy of said building, structure or land, or to prevent any illegal act, conduct, business, or use in or about such premises."

The statute does not reference what additional remedies a County may have. Rather, it provides that a County may institute legal proceedings. Some lawyers are challenging what that means. There is plenty of law that allows a county to seek an injunction to stop a development until compliance with the zoning regulations. However, we have found nothing to allow a county to recover

damages if it has to go in and undertake the work. The county had a perfect example of the hardship this causes at a county subdivision where the county pulled a bond, fixed the roads and the bond was insufficient to cover the costs.

Request: Counties should be entitled to recover any actual costs incurred to enforce the zoning laws, ordinances, and regulations, including the cost to bring properties into compliance if the owner fails or refuses, attorney fees and costs of litigation etc.

Board of Supervisors: Approval to Declare an Emergency - Deputy Chief Chris Olson

Issue: MS Code Section 33-15-17 (d) allows a local emergency to be proclaimed by the governing body of a municipality or county. Due to the conditions associated with a need to declare an emergency, twenty-four (24) hours' notice may not allow the county residents' needs to be addressed adequately and legally as defined by MS Code Section 33-15-5. The code states:

...(g) "Local emergency" means the duly proclaimed existence of conditions of disaster or extreme peril to the safety of persons and property within the territorial limits of a county and/or municipality caused by such conditions as air or water pollution, fire, flood, storm, epidemic, earthquake, hurricane, resource shortages or other natural or man-made conditions, which conditions are or are likely to be beyond the control of the services, personnel, equipment and facilities of the political subdivision and require the combined forces of other subdivisions or of the state to combat.

Request: We request that MS Code Section 33-15-17 (d) allow a Board of Supervisors to authorize the County Administrator or Emergency Management Director to declare an emergency, with ratification by the Board of Supervisors at the next regular or special meeting of the board by changing MS Code Section 33-15-17 as follows.

MS Code Section 33-15-17

(d) A local emergency as defined in > Section 33-15-5 may be proclaimed by the mayor or the governing body of a municipality or a position/person authorized by the governing body of a county or the governing body of the county. The governing body shall review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every thirty (30) days until such local emergency is terminated, and shall proclaim the termination of such local emergency at the earliest possible date that conditions warrant. During a local emergency, the governing body of a political subdivision may promulgate orders and regulations necessary to provide for the protection of life and property, including orders or regulations imposing a curfew within designated boundaries where necessary to preserve the public order and safety. Such orders and regulations and amendments and rescissions thereof shall be in writing and shall be given widespread notice and publicity. The authorization granted by this section to impose a curfew shall not be construed as restricting in any manner the existing authority to impose a curfew pursuant to police power for any other lawful purpose.

County Owned Landfills - Exempt County Fees - Supervisor Bill Russell

ISSUE: In 2013 DeSoto County paid the Department of Revenue \$13,651 for compliance with MS Code 17-17-219 (2) (b). Much of the savings we worked so hard to achieve for our tax payers is lost through this payment on a County owned facility

Miss. Code Ann. § 17-17-219 (2) (b)

- § 17-17-219. Filing by owners or operators of commercial facilities managing municipal solid wastes of annual statements of waste received and managed; <u>per-ton fees imposed on management of waste</u>; <u>daily record of waste delivered to facilities</u>; <u>annual aggregate report of wastes received at facilities</u>
- (2) (a) Before July 15 of each year, the operator of a commercial nonhazardous solid waste management facility managing municipal solid waste shall file with the State Tax Commission and the department a statement, verified by oath, showing the total amounts of solid waste received from out of state and managed at the facility during the preceding calendar year.
- (b) Before July 15 of each year, the operator of a commercial nonhazardous solid waste management facility managing municipal solid waste located in this state shall pay to the State Tax Commission an amount equal to the greater of the perton fee imposed on the management of out-of-state nonhazardous solid waste by the state from which the nonhazardous solid waste originated or the per-ton fee, if any, imposed on the management of nonhazardous solid waste by this state. The sum shall be based on the total amounts of nonhazardous solid waste managed at the facility during the preceding calendar year and shall be paid to the State Tax Commission at the same time that reports are filed under subsection (2)(a) of this section.

REQUEST: We request County owned facilities managing solid waste to be exempt for the fee on solid waste facilities imposed in MS Code 17-17-219 if the facility accepts rubbish-limbs-leaves and building debris.

Supervisor Russell and Representative Jennings discussed the most effective process to writing and communicating legislation.

County Purchases - Modify Purchases Under \$1000 Without Purchase Requisition Director of Administrative Services Pat McLeod

Issue: Purchase Orders under \$1000 without Purchase Requisition

Request: Remove liability from the Purchase Clerk on purchases that were made without approval because the Purchase Clerk has no way to monitor these purchases.

Modify Section 1 below to include highlighted addendum.

SECTION 1. Section 31-7-103, Mississippi Code of 1972, is amended as follows:

31-7-103. The purchase clerk shall be responsible as hereinafter provided for the purchase and acquisition of all equipment, heavy equipment, machinery, supplies, commodities, materials and services to be acquired for the county from successful bidders or other vendors, as authorized by law. The central purchase system shall comply with the requirements prescribed by the State Department of Audit under the authority of Section 7-7-211 and in accordance with Section 31-7-113, and the purchase clerk shall be responsible for the maintenance of such system. No requisition to purchase, purchase order or receiving report shall be required for the purchase of any item or services with an acquisition cost of not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) in the aggregate; however, the invoice for every such purchase shall be signed by the department head or his or her designee, or a receipt signed by the person making the purchase shall be attached to the invoice and forwarded to the purchase clerk. No claim based on any such purchase shall be approved except after compliance with the provisions of this section. The person making any purchase without a purchase requisition or binding contract shall be responsible to ensure the purchase is made according to State Law and shall be personally liable when legal guidelines are not followed, instead of the Purchase Clerk.

SECTION 2. Section 31-7-119, Mississippi Code of 1972:

31-7-119. (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, neither the board of supervisors nor any member thereof shall individually purchase, order or receive any equipment, heavy equipment, machinery, supplies, commodities, materials or services for the use or benefit of the county.

(2) In any county in which the board of supervisors is not required to operate on a countywide system of road administration, the prohibition as provided in subsection (1) of this section shall not apply (a) to purchases of not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) in the aggregate; or (b) to the purchase of parts or repair services in emergency situations, which purchases are exempt from bid requirements pursuant to Section 31-7-13(m)(ii) and (iii), Mississippi Code of 1972. Any supervisor who purchases any item or services in accordance with this subsection (2) shall sign the invoice or receipt and forward it to the purchase clerk in the manner provided by Section 31-7-103. No claim based on any such purchase shall be approved unless the purchase was made in compliance with the provisions of this subsection.

Election Minimum Number of Ballots Printed - Supervisor Mark Gardner

Issue: Miss. Code 23-15-513 states that for each primary or general election the number of official ballots to be printed shall be a number that is equal to not less than seventy-five percent (75%) of the registered voters eligible to vote in the election.

Only the Presidential Election (General Election) in the 4 year election cycle comes close to a 75% voter turnout. The other elections run from 17% to 45%.

At Desoto County's last Primary run-off election, there was a 20% turn out of voters. The law required that ballots be on hand for 75% of the registered voters. Desoto County would have had approximately **51000 ballots left over** costing the county a total of \$11,730.

Request: Allow counties using paper ballots that have more than 50,000 registered voters to opt out of the 75% requirement on paper ballots to reduce waste of tax payers' money.

Election Prohibit Cell Phone Usage in Polling Places Supervisor Harvey Lee

Issue: Cell phones are a convenience but they can easily be an annoyance especially to other people. Many cell phone users speak loudly when on the phone not realizing they are invading other people's space. A loud cell phone user can become very distracting to everyone in the polling place: voters, poll workers and particularly poll managers who need to stay focused on their duties and not become distracted by cell phone users.

Request: Prohibit the use of cell phones in the voting area by <u>ALL</u> voters.

Election Resolution Board Training Supervisor Lee Caldwell

Issue: The Resolution Board's job is to verify the intent of the voter and mark a ballot so that it can be read by the machine. If a voter uses check marks instead of filling in the circle, the ballot may be rejected by the machine. If the voter leaves before it is rejected, then this ballot goes to the Resolution Board. The Resolution Board's job is totally different than a poll worker's job but currently the Resolution board is required to spend 8 hours in poll worker training.

Request: Amend 23-15-523 to remove the requirement for the Resolution Board to attend poll worker training and establish the requirement to attend up to three hours training on the duties of a Resolution Board member.

Election Commissioners - Terms to be Staggered No party Affiliation Supervisor Harvey Lee

Issue: Miss. Code Ann. 3-15-213 allows for the election of one (1) commissioner in each supervisor's district whose term shall commence on the first Monday of January following their election and who shall serve for a term of four (4) years. This code also states that all candidates for commissioner shall declare in writing their party affiliation, if any, to the board of supervisors, and such party affiliation shall be shown on the official ballot.

Request: Allow election commissioners' terms to be staggered which would make available an experienced commissioner to train newly elected commissioners.

Due to the commissioners' duties, no party affiliation should be allowed when qualifying for office and ballots shall be non-

partisan.

Homeowners Associations Request law to guide government on Sub Division Homeowners Covenants Supervisor Russell

Issue: It is in the best interests of the state and its citizens to establish a clear, comprehensive, and uniform framework for the creation and operation of homeowners associations.

Such framework to include, but not be limited to:

- Certain statutory assessment liens to be imposed by homeowners associations against its membership for dues and services.
- Certain statutory assessment liens to be imposed by local governing authorities against homeowners associations should they fail to maintain common areas and common amenities.
- Establishing the right of homeowners associations to sue and be sued,
- Establishing specific obligations within a uniform structure of development of common interest communities.
- Promote effective and efficient property management through defined operation requirements and promote effective procedures for local government authorities to regulate homeowners associations.

Request: The County request to establish a comprehensive Code, either mandatory throughout the State, or to be implemented locally upon approval and at the discretion of the Boards of Supervisors, that has the purpose of providing statutory recognition to, and procedures for, operating homeowners' associations, and to protect the rights of association members without unduly impairing the ability of the association to perform their function.

Supervisor Russell said this has been a big issue in the County. He said some of the Homeowner's Associations do not manage the subdivisions effectively and issues continue to be brought to the County. Supervisor Russell offered to sit with each representative to discuss the major issues and potential ways to resolve them.

Board Attorney Tony Nowak discussed the draft legislation that was presented last year, and noted that the intent is to allow counties to elect to enact homeowners' association ordinance in a manner similar to election to enact zoning ordinances.

Justice Court - Prosecuting Attorney County Administrator Vanessa Lynchard

Issue: With the passing of HB585 (Correction/Criminal justice Reform), there is anticipated a significant increase in the Justice Court docket. When the law changes in a way to keep more crimes as misdemeanors and, therefore out of Circuit Court, the case load in Justice Court will increase.

Request: The Board of Supervisors requests to be authorized, in its discretion:

- 1) to employ additional part-time assistant County Prosecuting Attorneys.
- 2) and to pay an annual salary in the amount not to exceed 90% of the salary paid to the elected County Prosecuting Attorney.

Infrastructure Continued Funding for MDOT Supervisor Caldwell

Issue: When State funds are lost as a match to Federal funds, Mississippi loses opportunities for improvements to infrastructure. Most Federal Highway funding requires an 80/20 match. When Federal funds are lost, we lose the opportunity for major road projects serving residents and travelers throughout Mississippi.

Request: We request continued funding for MDOT.

at Bethel Road Infrastructure Highway 305 Intersection Supervisor Medlin

Issue: Because of the increase in traffic on Highway 305, accidents are increasing at intersections along the roadway. There have been 23 documented accidents in the area of the intersection of Highway 305 and Bethel Road since 2008 with, fortunately, no fatalities. Additionally, Bethel Road is offset across this intersection. Eastbound traffic on Bethel Road has some slight sight distance issues looking north.

- Lewisburg School Campus (K through 12^m) located 3 miles from this intersection generates considerable additional traffic. Twenty-six (26) regular school bus routes and five (5) Special Education routes use this intersection daily. Roughly half of this schools district is on the east side of 305. While any accident is a tragedy, a school bus accident will involve the lives of families throughout Desoto County and must be avoided.
- A new Methodist Hospital was built in 2013 on Bethel Road and emergency equipment will be traveling this intersection at 305 and Bethel Road at various times of the day. This will create hazardous conditions without the proper signalization at this intersection.
- Desoto County anticipates continued residential, commercial, and industrial growth for this area. Prologis Park Desoto is located off of 305, just south of US 78, and will continue to generate traffic. It is home to FEDEX. The I-269/MS305 interchange will be just over a mile south of this intersection. With MS 305 being a direct route to I-269, this will likely be attractive to west bound commercial traffic.
- Desoto County Traffic Counts for Highway 305 south of Bethel Road were 5,666 in January 2014.

Request: Encourage MDOT to study the traffic at the intersection of Highway 305 and Bethel Road to see if signalization or other safety measures are warranted. In addition adequately fund MDOT to ensure they have the resources to protect the public on this and other similar roads.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin said he would appreciate any help the representatives could offer.

Infrastructure Highway 305 Church Road to Byhalia Road Supervisor Medlin

Issue: Traffic counts taken in 2011 by MDOT (latest available) for Hwy 305 south of Church Road was 11,000 vehicles. Traffic counts taken by MDOT in 2013 for Hwy 305 north of Church Road was 19,000 vehicles. Traffic to the Lewisburg Schools have continued to increase. Many of the 2,921 students at the Lewisburg Elementary, Middle and High Schools travel Hwy 305 on their way to and from school and school functions. The Board of Supervisors voted to participate in the bond process for I-269 so the schedule of the completion has been expedited. The completion of I-269 will create more traffic on Hwy 305 and will encourage truck traffic from I-269 to take this north/south route. MDOT has, for several years, planned to widen this section of Highway 305, but there is no timeline or funding set aside for this project.

Request: Expedite the construction of Hwy 305 to five lanes from Church Road to Byhalia Road to accommodate residential, commercial, and industrial growth of this area.

Legislative Delegation Request For Both Chambers To Submit Bills Ms. Lynchard

Issue: We appreciate our Legislative Delegation's commitment to usher our local concerns through the State Legislative process, and we have experienced much success because of your competent leadership.

Recent experiences have made us aware of how important it is to have bills introduced by <u>both</u> Chambers. Many issues that one chamber diligently shepherds through the process may fail and, when there is no companion bill, the issue goes without change.

Request: We request both Legislative Chambers submit bills for issues affecting DeSoto County.

Motor Vehicle Use of Wireless Communication Devices – Texting Supervisor Gardner

Issue: Mississippi is one of <u>six states</u> without a ban on texting while driving for <u>all</u> drivers. Texting while driving is banned in Mississippi for learner permit holders and school bus drivers in MS Code 63-1-73.

44 states, D.C., Puerto Rico, Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands ban text messaging for <u>all</u> drivers. Source: Governor's Highway Safety Administration.

Request: Amend Mississippi Code Section 63-1-73 to prohibit all persons from operating a motor vehicle while using a hand-held mobile telephone to write, send or read a text message while the motor vehicle is moving.

Public Employees Retirement System Employers Match Supervisor Medlin

Issue: During the period of 2005 through 2013, the PERS employers match increased 6%. This is an average increase of \$205,000 per year.

Request: Control increases to reasonably accommodate budgeting.

Supervisor Medlin said he would like to see PERS work within the budget. He is concerned about the future of the employees.

Representative Kinkade said he agreed with Supervisor Medlin.

Sheriff Department Request For Radar Authority Supervisor Gardner

Issue: Counties have no authority to control speeders in the unincorporated county. In addition, counties could help the dwindling number of state troopers on federal and state roadways to help control public safety and apprehend drug traffic.

For most of 2013, DeSoto County had three (3) State Troopers and one (1) Reconstructionist. It is vital to the safety of our residents and visitors to employ an adequate number of Highway Patrol Officers in DeSoto County. Justice Court activity proves our need for adequate coverage.

Fiscal Year	Total Collected	State Disbursement	County Disbursement
FY14 thru 7/29/14	\$ 1,967,376	\$ 804,054	\$ 1,163,322
FY13	\$ 1,981,137	\$ 839,531	\$ 1,141,606
FY12	\$ 2,046,097	\$ 766,919	\$ 1,279,178
FY11	\$ 1,972,562	\$ 755,093	\$ 1,217,468

FY10	\$ 2,488,786	\$ 1,057,442	\$ 1,431,344
FY09	\$ 1,876,534	\$ 693,437	\$ 1,183,097
FY08	\$ 1,824,279	\$ 696,675	\$ 1,127,604
FY07	\$ 1,392,799	\$ 483,809	\$ 908,990
FY06	\$ 1,308,761	\$ 483,759	\$ 825,002
FY05	\$ 1,317,814	\$ 481,212	\$ 836,602

- 1. Amend Section 63-3-519 of the Mississippi Code to authorize counties to acquire speed detection equipment for use by their law enforcement officers on certain public roads within their jurisdiction, provided that the Board of Supervisors has approved the operation. This action may be more appropriate for counties with a population of more than 150,000 according to the latest federal census. Use of radar equipment would be used by county sheriff's departments on county roads, state highways and federal highways by agreement with the Mississippi Highway Patrol.
- 2. Assign additional State Troopers to DeSoto County.

Supervisor Gardner said he is very concerned about the citizen's safety in vehicles. He said we cannot use radar in the County.

Sheriff Bill Rasco said radar is a lifesaver and not a money maker. He said there are more people breaking the speed limit on county roads because they can get away with it. Sheriff Rasco said there is a way to test window tinting but they cannot issue a ticket.

Sheriff Department Request For Authority To Ticket Illegal Window Tinting Supervisor Gardner

Issue: Mississippi Code Section 63-7-59 does not allow authority for counties to ticket illegal window tinting. This drastically reduces the opportunity to stop this violation that can lead to dangerous situations for law enforcement and the public. Currently, highway patrol and municipal law enforcement can enforce the window tint law.

Request: Amend Mississippi Code Section 63-7-59 (15) as follows:

Modify Mississippi Code 63-7-59 so that any law enforcement agency in the state of Mississippi, or add that county sheriff's departments also shall be authorized to enforce the violation.

State Budget Fully Fund State Obligations And Do Not Give Relief At The Expense Of County Governments Supervisor Lee

ISSUE: State and Federal governments are transferring an increasing list of services from their budget to county budgets. Offices and the public turn to county governments for funding to continue services:

- District Attorney Office & Staff
- DHS and Health Department
- Circuit Court Reporters
- Circuit Court Administrators
- Chancery Court Reporters
- Chancery Court Administrators
- Audit Services (Actual Expenses)

Detailed in Exhibit H.3

State Budget - Fully Fund State Obligations - Death Row Appeals Ms. Lynchard

Issue: MS Supreme Court Order 98-DP-00708 SCT, SC Minute Book 5, Year 1999, Pages 36-38 require county governments to fund counsel and litigation expenses for post-conviction proceedings challenging the convictions and sentences of persons under the sentence of death.

Request: Provide adequate funding to the Office of Capital Defense so staff and attorneys have necessary resources to represent <u>all</u> post capital conviction appeals which would ensure no county government could be burdened with expenses related to indigent capital defense.

State Budget - Remove Requirement for AOC to Compensate and Approve **COUNTY** Court Administrators

Issue: Currently the Administrative Office of Courts (AOC) must approve, and counties must submit funding to the AOC to pay for Chancery, Circuit and County Court Administrators. The AOC returns the money to the respective court administrator. Because the state has an obvious stake in the pay of Chancery and Circuit Court Administrators across the state, that is a good system and we support its continuation.

However, because COUNTY COURTS are funded totally by counties, adding this requirement to COUNTY COURT ADMINISTRATORS creates an extra step that is both cumbersome and costly to the county and state.

Currently, the following steps are authorized related to county court administrator payroll:

- 1. A Determination of net pay must be made.
- 2. A claim for the projected net pay should be authorized and paid to AOC each month.
- 3. Payroll is processed to include the administrator. Their pay check must be deposited to the fund to fund the claim made to AOC.
- 4. AOC will issue the check to the administrator.
- 5. The county will report to PERS and taxing authorities for the administrator.
- 6. At the end of each year, the county will issue a W-2 to the administrator.

Removing county courts from this requirement would result in fewer steps:

- 1. A Determination of net pay must be made.
- 2. Payroll is processed to include the administrator. Issue the paycheck directly to the court administrator.
- 3. The county will report to PERS and taxing authorities for the administrator.
- 4. At the end of each year, the county will issue a W-2 to the administrator.

Request:

Amend Mississippi Code Section 9-17-1 to remove the requirement for <u>County Courts</u> to pay Court Administrator's through the AOC.

MISSISSIPPI LEGISLATURE

2014 REGULAR SESSION
By: Rep./Sen.
HOUSE/SENATE BILL NO
AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR DIRECT PAYMENT OF COUNTY COURT ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES BY THE COUNTY; AND FOR RELATED PURPOSES.

Section 1. Section 9-17-1, Mississippi Code of 1972, is hereby amended as follows:

Establishment of office; appointment and compensation of court administrator

(1) The judges and chancellors of judicial districts, including chancery, circuit and county courts, may, in their discretion, jointly or independently, establish the office of court administrator in any county by an order entered on the minutes of each participating court in the county.

The establishment of the office of court administrator shall be accomplished by vote of a majority of the participating judges and chancellors in the county, and such court administrator shall be appointed by vote of a majority of the judges or chancellors and may be removed by a majority vote of the judges or chancellors. In case of a tie vote, the senior judge or senior chancellor shall cast two (2) votes.

- (2) The court administrator shall be provided office space in the same manner as such is afforded the judges and chancellors.
- (3) The annual salary of each court administrator appointed pursuant to this section shall be set by vote of the judges and chancellors of each participating county and shall be submitted to the Administrative Office of Courts for approval pursuant to Section 9-1-36. The salary of the circuit and chancery court administrators shall be paid in twelve (12) installments on the last working day of the month by the Administrative Office of Courts after it has been authorized by the participating judges and chancellors and an order has been duly placed on the minutes of each participating court. The annual salary of each county court administrator shall be paid by the participating counties directly to each county court administrator in the same manner as all other county employees are paid.

Any county within a judicial district having a <u>chancery or circuit</u> court administrator shall transfer to the Administrative Office of Courts one-twelfth (1/12) of its pro rata cost of authorized compensation as defined in Section 9-1-36 for the <u>chancery or circuit court</u>

administrator by the twentieth day of each month for the compensation that is to be paid on the last day of that month. The board of supervisors may transfer the pro rata cost of the county from the funds of that county pursuant to Section 9-17-5(2)(b).

(4) For all travel required in the performance of official duties, the court administrator shall be paid mileage by the county in which the duties were performed at the same rate as provided for state employees in Section 25-3-41, Mississippi Code of 1972. The court administrator shall file a certificate of mileage expense incurred during that term with the board of supervisors of each participating county and payment of such expense shall be paid proportionately out of the court administration fund established pursuant to Section 9-17-5.

Section 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after July 1, 2014.

Road Department Private Driveways Repaired during Culvert Replacement Andy Swims

Issue: Desoto County can replace a driveway drainage culvert in the public right-of-way if the damaged culvert has an adverse effect on a road. If a property owner has a concrete or paved driveway over a culvert then a section of the driveway would have to be removed to repair the culvert. However, the County has no authority to replace the concrete or pavement or bring the driveway back to its original state

The Mississippi Constitution of 1890 provides in part that "the board of supervisors shall have full jurisdiction over roads, ferries, and bridges to be exercised in accordance with such regulations as the legislature may prescribe, and perform such other duties as may be required by law;

Under MS Code 19-5-92.1, (1) The board of supervisors of any county, whenever the board determines that the health, comfort and convenience of the inhabitants of the county will be promoted, may:

- Incur costs and pay necessary expenses for: Providing labor, materials and supplies to clean or clear drainage ditches, creeks or channels or conduits, both natural and man-made and to prevent erosion of such ditches, creeks or channels;
- Reimburse landowners for damages and injury resulting from work performed by the county under this section.

The code will allow reimbursement for damages resulting from work performed but will not allow reimbursement for driveways to be restored to their previous condition due to work the County has determined to be necessary; thus resulting in an unexpected cost to the homeowner.

Request: Draft legislation providing that the Board of Supervisors may incur costs to repair driveways back to their original state after a culvert is replaced for health and safety reasons or to protect a road.

Transfer Equipment At Road Department Tony Nowak

Issues:

- Vehicles purchased by the County through use of Road and Bridge funds cannot be transferred to or used by other
 County Departments due to the restrictions placed on the use of Road and Bridge funds under Miss. Code Ann. §
 65-15-1 et seq. Thus, while the County may sell road vehicles to other governmental entities for a nominal sum the
 County Road Department cannot transfer a vehicle to another County department for a nominal sum.
- Additionally, due to the limitations imposed by Miss. Code Ann. § 65-15-1 et seq on the use of Road and Bridge Funds, other departments cannot make use of road department equipment unless the County reimburses the road department. If the Sheriff's Department required the use of a road department backhoe, the Sheriff's Department would have to reimburse the road department the fair value of the cost of such use.
- Allowing county governments to have full use of all its vehicles and equipment, including by and between all its departments, will allow for more efficient operations and the acquisition of less equipment and fewer vehicles.

Request:

Draft legislation providing that county road departments may allow the use of road department equipment and vehicles by other departments of the county without the necessity of being reimbursed, and to allow the county road department to transfer vehicles purchased with road and bridge funds to other county departments without reimbursement, or for a nominal sum, if the Board of Supervisors determines that such use or transfer is in the best interest of the taxpayers of the county. The Board of Supervisors shall place the justification on the minutes.

<u>Draft Legislation 1</u>

AN ACT TO ESTABLISH MISSISSIPPI CODE ANNOTATED SECTION 65-17-9 TO ALLOW THE TRANSFER OF SURPLUS COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT TO THE INVENTORY OF THE COUNTY GOVERNING AUTHORITY OR COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:

SECTION ONE: Article 1 of Chapter 17 of Title 65 Mississippi Code of 1972 is hereby amended to include Section 65-17-9.

SECTION TWO: Section 65-17-9, Mississippi Code of 1972 provides as follows:

65-17-9. (a) Upon a determination by the board of supervisors that (i) equipment of the county road department has ceased to be used for purposes of the road department, and (ii) such equipment may be of use or benefit to another department of county government; and (iii) the transfer of the equipment to another department of county government would promote the best interest of county government; and (iv) upon the unanimous approval of the board of supervisors such surplus property may be transferred to any other department of county government without the necessity of reimbursement to the road and bridge fund.

SECTION THREE: This act shall take effect and be enforced from and after its passage.

Draft Legislation 2

AN ACT TO ESTABLISH MISSISSIPPI CODE ANNOTATED SECTION 65-17-11 TO ALLOW USE OF COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT BY OTHER DEPARTMENTS OF THE COUNTY WITHOUT REIMBURSEMENT.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:

SECTION ONE: Article 1 of Chapter 17 of Title 65 Mississippi Code of 1972 is hereby amended to include Section 65-17-11.

SECTION TWO: Section 65-17-11, Mississippi Code of 1972 provides as follows:

65-17-11.(a) To allow for more efficient operations and the acquisition of less equipment and fewer vehicles, the board of supervisors may determine that it is in the best interest of county government to allow other departments of the county to use road department equipment and vehicles without the necessity of reimbursement to the road and bridge fund. Upon the unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, justification will be placed upon the minutes.

SECTION THREE: This act shall take effect and be enforced from and after its passage.

Broadband Supervisor Caldwell

Issue:

Desoto County has been incorrectly labeled as having good coverage of high-speed internet service. The FCC maps are incorrect and need to be adjusted to ensure Mississippi, including Desoto County, secures as much federal financial support as possible to improve the communication services to taxpayers. There is a discrepancy where internet providers claim service and where residents actually have access to this service.

In the interest of Desoto County citizens, the Board of Supervisors created a survey that was offered to the public to determine if high-speed internet was available to them at their needed location. The results of this survey are documented on the map located on the following page.

Request:

Encourage the Public Service Commission to continue working to deliver updated data to the Federal Communications Commission.

Work with the FCC and the Public Service Commission to expand the coverage of internet services to all citizens in Mississippi.

Major Defensive Victories Legislative Session 2014 Pollution Control Exemption Supervisor Bill Russell

Issue:

Pollution Control Exemption (Mandated Ad Valorem Exemption) - House Bill 1560

This bill was lacking in specifics about what type of equipment would and would not qualify for the exemption, or about how that determination was to be made and by whom. Local taxing authorities already have the tools to exempt this value when deemed appropriate.

Request:

- If this type bill is resubmitted, Desoto County requests that the Board of Supervisors should retain the authority to make these decisions in the best interests of the county and its taxpayers and the state legislature should not take this discretion away from them.
- Desoto County requests that the Legislature not consider vague language that leads to litigation but to give a clear definition of its intent. In addition, tax breaks that come from the local government coffer directly result in lower services to our residents, or higher taxes. Please be sensitive to both impacts.

Major Defensive Victories Legislative Session 2014 New Chancellor for the 3rd Chancery District Supervisor Russell

Major Victories (Funding Increases) Legislative Session 2014 Supervisor Caldwell

Because of our Legislative Delegation's continued support, major funding bills were passed in the 2014 Legislative Session which allowed for the removal of the following items from Desoto County's requests.

CONTINUE FUNDING:

• Infrastructure and Fire Truck Acquisitions (SB2001) (HB1493)

STATE BUDGET

- Juvenile and Family Drug Court
- Homestead Exemption Reimbursement (SB2877)

The Board of Supervisors thanked the representatives individually and said they appreciate everything they do to support and assist DeSoto County with resolving issues for the betterment of the community.

4. Department of Human Resources: Request to accept Quotes and Approve Recommendation for Flu Shots

Human Resources Manager Vickie Richmann presented the quotes for County employee flu shots as follows:

<u>Business</u>	Contact	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Fax</u>	<u>Comments</u>		The state of the s			Me. In the Part of				# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Additional Info
Funderburk's Pharmacy	Cheryl Sudduth	429-5337	429-5207	We are available for multiple days		\$10.00	\$20.00	Y		NN			Υ	Will offer preservative free mercury allergic employees (limited number) and Pneumonia shot \$95.00
Methodist Alliance	Myra Gammon	901-516-1443		Staff will come to each site for 1 hour	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	Y	Υ	N N	VΑ	Υ		Accepted Plans: Cigna, United, Blue Cross S Plan, Medicare, Pneumonia shot \$75.00
Hernando Famil;y Medical	Jamie Whaley	662-429-4988	662-429-4966		\$20.00	\$10.00	\$22.00	Υ	Υ	ΥN	VΑ	Y	Υ	If we file a person's insurance for the flu shots, we must have: Completed office patient forms, copy of a valid drivers license or picture ID and copy of insurance card front and back.
Kroger	Cindy Fisher	901-765-4212	901-765-4213		\$21.00	N/A	N/A	Y	Υ	N	l/A	Υ		Offsite shots: We would/could issue vouchers to be used in this circumstance
Weaver Clinic	Beverly Weaver FNP	662-429-5221			\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	Y	Υ	N	VΑ	Υ	Υ	
Family Medical Clinic of N. MS	Keith Morrow	662-890-5555			\$26.00	N/A	\$26.00	Υ	Υ	N N	VΑ	Υ		Employee Family Flu shot only, All Shots only if they accept that insurance.
RECOMMENDATION: Funde	orburke's due to av	ailability and o	post of P-12								4	1		

Ms. Richmann recommended Funderburks based on their prices, B-12 shots are cheaper, offer free mercury allergic shots, pneumonia shots and they will be available multiple days. She recommended Funderburks due to availability and cost.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Mark Gardner seconded the motion to approve Funderburks as the best quoted based on availability and cost of the B-12 shot; finding this benefit is necessary and funded from the insurance account 501.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.4

5. Office of Procurement: Bids Under Advisement

a. Re-Bid Annual Traffic Striping

Procurement Clerk Karen McNeil presented the bids for annual traffic striping. She said this is the rebid.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Harvey Lee seconded the motion to approve awarding the bid for annual traffic striping for the Road Department to Riverside Traffic as the lowest and best bid; with J.C. Cheek as the first alternate in relation to bid number 15-156-016 as follows:

	USAGE	RIVERSIDE T New Albar				J. C. Cheek Kosciusko, MS				
ITEM	(12 MONTHS)	Ye	Yes to Alternate and Piggyback Per Mile/LF		Budget Impact in FY15		es to Alternate and Piggyback	В	udget Impact	
							Per Mile/LF		_	
REGULAR PAINT 4" Traffic Stripe	7 Miles	\$	600.00	\$ 4,200.00		\$ 650.00		\$ 4,550.00		
REGULAR PAINT 4" Traffic Stripe Skip	None	\$	250.00			\$	300.00			
THERMOPLASTIC 30ml 4" Traffic Stripe	None	\$	700.00			\$	725.00			
THERMOPLASTIC 60ml 4" Traffic Stripe	117 Miles	\$	1,450.00	\$	169,650.00	\$	1,500.00	\$	175,500.00	l
THERMOPLASTIC 90ml 4" Traffic Stripe Skip	94 Miles	\$	475.00	\$	44,650.00	\$	500.00	\$	47,000.00	
THERMOPLASTIC 90ml 4" Traffic Stripe	7 Miles	\$	1,850.00	\$	12,950.00	\$	1,900.00	\$	13,300.00	
Detail Legend - Thermoplastic 90 ml	None	\$	1.00			\$	1.50			
Detail Legend - Thermoplastic 120 ml	108 LF	\$	1.50	\$	162.00	\$	2.00	\$	216.00	
Detail Legend - Thermoplastic 12"	None	\$	5.00			\$	5.00			_
TOTAL				\$	231,612.00			\$	240,566.00	_
All pricing is per mile or LF										_
RECOMMENDATION: Award Riverside Traffic	with J.C. Cheek a	s fir	st alternate							
	COMPARISON T	O E	KPENDITURE IN F	Y14	l					
ITEM	FY14 USAGE		FY14 Bid (River	side	e Traffic)	FY	15 Low Bid (Riv	vers	ide Traffic)	
	Per Mile/LF	Per Mile/LF				Per Mil	e/LF		ı	
REGULAR PAINT 4" Traffic Stripe	7 Miles	\$	600.00	\$	4,200.00	\$	600.00	\$	4,200.00	
THERMOPLASTIC 60ml 4" Traffic Stripe	117 Miles	\$	1,600.00	\$	187,200.00	\$	1,450.00	\$	169,650.00	
THERMOPLASTIC 90ml 4" Traffic Stripe Skip	94 Miles	NEW ITEM								
THERMOPLASTIC 90ml 4" Traffic Stripe	7 Miles	\$	2,050.00	\$	14,350.00	\$	1,850.00	\$	12,950.00	
Detail Legend - Thermoplastic 120 ml	108 LF	\$	6.00	\$	648.00	\$	1.50	\$	162.00	
TOTAL				\$	206,398.00			\$	186,962.00	
FY15 Comparison to FY14 Low Bid Difference					-9%					

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.5.a

b. Annual Crushed Limestone

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Harvey Lee seconded the motion to approve awarding the bid for crushed limestone as the lowest and best bidders based on cost of materials and cost per ton for hauling in relation to bid number 15-156-006 as follows:

RECOMMENDATION:	12 month bid po	eriod beginning	g October 1,									
2014) Award as follows:												
ITEM	AWARD	1st ALTERNATE	2nd ALTERNATE									
CR610 Limestone base	Vulcan-Graves	Vulcan-Harbor	APAC									
# 57 Limestone	Vulcan-Harbor	APAC	Fullen Dock									
#7 Limestone	Vulcan-Harbor	Fullen Dock	n/a									
#8Limestone	Vulcan-Harbor	Fullen Dock	n/a									
#4 Stone (2" - 1")	Vulcan-Harbor	Fullen Dock	n/a									
4" Stone (4" - 3")	Vulcan-Harbor	n/a	n/a									
3" - 6" Rip Rap	APAC	Vulcan-Harbor	Fullen Dock									
90 # Rip Rap	Vulcan-Graves	Vulcan-Harbor	APAC									
125 # Rip Rap	Vulcan-Graves	Vulcan-Harbor	APAC									
200 # Rip Rap	Vulcan-Graves	Vulcan-Harbor	APAC									
300 # Rip Rap	Vulcan-Graves	Vulcan-Harbor	APAC									
Ag Lime	Fullen Dock	n/a	n/a									
Arkalite Chip Seal #7 Clay												
Aggregate	Red River	n/a	n/a									
Arkalite Course Medium	Red River	n/a	n/a									

	RIALS CO.	VULO	AN MATI	RIALS CO.	FULLEN	DOCK & V	WAREHOUSE		APAC		BIG RIVER INDUSTRIES, INC.					
BIDDERS			Memphis, TN						Memphis, TN	1210 Ha		Memphis, TN		residents Island Memphis, TN		
Alternate		Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes			No		
Piggyback Option		Yes			Yes			Yes			No			Yes		
Mileage from Road Dept.		20.2 m	ni.		15.2 n	ni.		25.1 m	i.		20.2 m	i.		20 mi		
Hauling Cost (miles X \$2.00)		\$40.40			\$30.40			\$50.20			\$40.40			\$40.00		
BID ITEM	Price/Ton		Rased on FY14		Product + Hauling	Budget Impact Based on FY14 Usage w/Hauling	Price/Ton	Product + Hauling	Budget Impact Based on FY14 Usage w/Hauling	Price/Ton	Product + Hauling	Budget Impact Based on FY14 Usage w/Hauling	Price/Yd	Droduct	Budget Impact Based on FY14 Usage w/Hauling	ĺ
R610 Limestone Base	\$ 16.50	\$56.90	\$ 745,390.00	\$ 17.85	\$48.25	\$ 632,075.00	\$ 16.93	\$67.13	\$879,403.00	\$ 17.75	\$58.15	\$761,765.00	No Bid			
57 Limestone	\$ 19.00	\$59.40	no usage	No Bid		no usage	\$ 19.66	\$69.86	no usage	\$ 20.75	\$61.15	no usage	No Bid		no usage	ı
7 Limestone	\$ 20.00	\$60.40	no usage	No Bid		no usage	\$ 19.66	\$69.86	no usage	No Bid		no usage	No Bid		no usage	J
8 Limestone	\$ 20.00	\$60.40	no usage	No Bid		no usage	\$ 19.66	\$69.86	no usage	No Bid		no usage	No Bid		no usage	J
4 Stone (2" - 1")	\$ 20.00	\$60.40	no usage	No Bid		no usage	\$ 19.66	\$69.86	no usage	No Bid		no usage	No Bid		no usage	J
4 Stone (4" - 3")	\$ 20.50	\$60.90	\$ 121,800.00	No Bid			No Bid			No Bid			No Bid			
tip Rap 3" - 6" (Gabion)	\$ 24.50	\$64.90	\$ 12,250.00	No Bid			\$ 27.31	\$77.51	\$ 38,755.00	\$ 19.50	\$59.90	\$ 29,950.00	No Bid			
00# Rip Rap	\$ 24.50	\$ 64.90	no usage	\$ 26.00	\$56.40	no usage	\$ 24.36	\$74.56	no usage	\$ 26.00	\$66.40	no usage	No Bid		no usage	
.25# Rip Rap	\$ 24.50	\$64.90	\$ 136,290.00	\$ 26.00	\$56.40	\$ 118,440.00	\$ 24.36	\$74.56	\$ 156,576.00	\$ 26.00	\$66.40	\$139,440.00	No Bid			
00# Rip Rap	\$ 24.50	\$ 64.90	\$ 64,900.00	\$ 26.00	\$56.40	\$ 56,400.00	\$ 24.36	\$74.56	\$ 74,560.00	\$ 26.00	\$ 66.40	\$ 66,400.00	No Bid			
800# Rip Rap	\$ 24.50	\$64.90	no usage	\$ 26.00	\$56.40	no usage	\$ 27.31	\$77.51	no usage	\$ 27.00	\$67.40	no usage	No Bid		no usage	1
Ag Lime	No Bid		no usage	No Bid		no usage	\$ 21.80	\$72.00	no usage	No Bid		no usage	No Bid		no usage	

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.5.b

c. Annual Traffic Signs and Accessories

Procurement Clerk Karen McNeil presented the bids for annual traffic signs and accessories. Ms. McNeil explained that Action Chemical's bid response is not for award; the company has confirmed they did not meet specifications of the bid by not providing correct quotes on items requiring a complete pole kit.

Supervisor Bill Russell made the motion and Supervisor Lee Caldwell seconded the motion to approve awarding the bid for traffic signs and accessories for the Road Department with lowest and best for all categories as indicated and first and second alternate in relation to bid number 15-156-016 as follows:

BIDDERS		G & C Supply Atwood, TN	Custom Products Jackson, MS	Garden State Hwy Products Vineland, NJ	Vulcan Signs Foley, AL	Se	rotection rvices, Inc. risburg, PA		stical Services Golden, MS	Crafco, Inc. Chandler, AZ	Action Chemical Memphis, TN	Temple, Inc. Decatur, AL	Safety Zone Specialists Lakeland, FL	3M Company St. Paul, MN	K & K Systems Tupelo, MS
	Alternate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pigg	yback Option	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Delivery	7-14 days on all products	35 days	30-45 days	up to 45 days depending on stock		10 days		nin 2 weeks on Ill products	Not Provided	up to 7 days ARO	45 days ARO	6 working days	20-30 working days	30 days ARO
Roadway Signs	Size														
	24x24	\$ 24.75	\$ 24.20	\$ 23.79	\$ 25.32	\$	29.00	\$	39.99	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Stop	30x30	\$ 38.71	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.02	\$ 39.30	\$	45.68	\$	64.75	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	36x36	\$ 55.75	\$ 54.45	\$ 51.97	\$ 56.84	\$	\$ 65.25		89.45	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Yield	30x30	\$ 31.50	\$ 19.35	\$ 16.52	\$ 19.98	\$	\$ 32.63		29.33	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
ALL WAY	18x6	\$ 5.24	\$ 4.58	\$ 4.79	\$ 5.10	\$	7.25	\$	10.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DO NOT ENTER	30x30	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	45.68	\$	59.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
2-WAY		\$ 3.60	\$ 3.08	\$ 3.72	\$ 3.60	\$	4.50	\$	20.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
3-WAY	12x6	\$ 3.60	\$ 3.08	\$ 3.72	\$ 3.60	\$	4.50	\$	20.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
4-WAY		\$ 3.60	\$ 3.08	\$ 3.72	\$ 3.60	\$	4.50	\$	20.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO PARKING - EGP		\$ 6.78	\$ 4.53	\$ 4.98	\$ 4.65	\$	6.10	No B	id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO PARKING THIS SIDE OF STREET - EGP	12x18	\$ 6.78	\$ 4.53	\$ 4.98	\$ 4.65	\$	6.10	No B	id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO PARKING THIS BLOCK- EGP		\$ 7.50	\$ 4.53	\$ 4.98	\$ 4.65	\$	6.10	No B	id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

NO THRU TRAFFIC		\$ 19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.41	\$ 21.75	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO THRU TRUCKS		\$ 19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.41	\$ 21.75	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO DUMPING \$500 FINE - EGP	18x24	\$ 11.53	\$ 9.06	\$ 17.67	\$ 10.87	\$ 12.19	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
RIGHT LANE MUST TURN RIGHT		\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$ 45.68	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
LEFT LANE MUST TURN LEFT		\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$ 45.68	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
WEIGHT LIMIT SIGNS WITH TWO TRUCK SYMBOLS includes 5T/8T/9T and 15T/24T/28T		\$ 19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.41	\$ 21.75	32.26	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
WEIGHT LIMIT SIGNS WITH TWO TRUCK SYMBOLS (includes "under 42 FT)		\$ 57.98	\$ 54.45	\$ 53.02	\$ 57.51	\$ 65.25	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

1 1												
WEIGHT LIMIT SIGNS WITH THREE TRUCK SYMBOLS (includes under 42 FT)	\$ 38.85	\$ 36.30	\$ 35.34	\$ 38.34	\$ 43.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
WEIGHT LIMIT 10 TONS (LIMITS OF 5, 10, 15, OR 20 TONS)	38.85	\$ 36.30	\$ 35.34	\$ 19.41	\$ 43.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
WEIGHT LIMIT 10 TONS (LIMITS OF 5, 10, 15, OR 20 TONS)	38.85	\$ 36.30	\$ 35.34	\$ 31.95	\$ 43.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid		No Bid
AXLE WEIGHT LIMIT SIGNS (includes 15T, 28T, 24T)	38.85	\$ 36.30	\$ 35.34	\$ 19.41	\$ 43.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid		No Bid
WEIGHT LIMIT/TANDEM AXLE for various limits of 10,000, 20,000, and 57,650 LBS ***weights may vary with orders	40.50	\$	\$	\$								
	48.52		44.18	47.93	\$ 54.38	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	57.98	\$	\$	\$	\$ 65.75	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

		54.45	53.02	57.51										
PASS WITH		\$	\$	\$										
CARE	19.25	18.15	17.67	19.41	\$	21.75	\$	32.26	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SPEED LIMIT		\$	\$	\$										
SIGNS	19.25	18.15	17.67	19.41	\$	21.75	\$	32.26	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
ONE WAY (ARROWS LEFT, RIGHT OR UP)	19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.41	\$	21.75	\$	32.26	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
REDUCED SPEED AHEAD	19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.41	\$	21.75	\$	32.26	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO TRUCK – SYMBOL	\$ 26.98	\$ 25.17	\$ 24.27	\$ 25.95	\$	29.00	No B	sid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SCHOOL SPEED LIMIT	\$ 58.35	\$ 77.27	\$ 99.62	\$57.21	Ç	71.85	No B	sid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SCHOOL BUS STOP AHEAD SYMBOL	\$ 42.03	\$ 39.85	\$ 38.66	\$ 41.13	\$	59.00	No B	iid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SCHOOL CROSSING SYMBOL	\$ 41.35	\$ 38.31	\$ 35.67	\$ 39.97	\$	54.00	No B	iid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
TURN LEFT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No B	id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
TURN RIGHT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No B	sid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
CURVE LEFT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No B	iid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
CURVE RIGHT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No B	iid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
REVERSE TURN LEFT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No B	iid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
OFFSET SIDE ROAD LEFT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No B		No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

l l	Í	I	1	İ	1						1		
OFFSET SIDE ROAD RIGHT SYMBOL	\$	\$	\$	\$									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	39.92	37.81	36.82	39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
REVERSE TURN RIGHT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
REVERSE CURVE LEFT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
REVERSE CURVE RIGHT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
WINDING ROAD LEFT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
WINDING ROAD RIGHT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
CROSSROAD SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SIDE ROAD OBLIQUE LEFT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SIDE ROAD OBLIQUE RIGHT SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SIDE ROAD SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
T INTERSECTION SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

Y INTERSECTION												
SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$ 46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
CHEVRON	\$ 19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.41	\$ 21.90	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
STOP AHEAD WITH ARROW AND SYMBOL	\$ 49.19	\$ 40.83	\$ 44.19	\$ 40.80	\$ 51.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SHOULDER DROPOFF SYMBOL	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$ 46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SLIPPERY WHEN WET	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$ 46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
RAILROAD (VARIOUS) SYMBOLS	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$ 46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DOUBLE ARROW	\$ 51.29	\$ 48.40	\$ 47.14	\$ 51.12	\$ 58.13	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SINGLE ARROW	\$ 51.29	\$ 48.40	\$ 47.14	\$ 51.12	\$ 58.13	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Black & Orange Signs												
	\$	\$	\$	\$		<u> </u>						
DIP	20.59	18.88	20.52	20.12	\$ 25.62	\$ 64.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
PAVEMENT ENDS	\$ 20.59	\$ 18.88	\$ 20.52	\$ 20.12	\$ 25.62	\$ 64.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
ONE LANE BRIDGE	\$ 20.59	\$ 18.88	\$ 20.52	\$ 20.12	\$ 25.62	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SLOW	\$ 20.59	\$ 18.88	\$ 20.52	\$ 20.12	\$ 25.62	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
WATER OVER ROAD	\$ 20.59	\$ 18.88	\$ 20.52	\$ 20.12	\$ 25.62	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
TRUCKS ENTERING HIGHWAY	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$ 46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
HIDDEN ENTRANCE	\$ 25.99	\$ 24.20	\$ 23.56	\$ 25.56	\$ 29.06	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
CHURCH	\$ 25.99	\$ 24.20	\$ 23.56	\$ 25.56	\$ 29.06	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

CHURCH w/Left	\$	\$	\$	\$									
Arrow	25.99	24.20	23.56	25.56	\$	29.06	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
CHURCH w/Right Arrow	\$ 25.99	\$ 24.20	\$ 23.56	\$ 25.56	\$	29.06	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DEAF CHILD	\$	\$	\$	\$, ,	23.00	NO BIG	NO DIG	NO BIG	IVO BIG	NO DIG	NO DIG	NO DIG
AREA	25.99	24.20	23.56	25.56	\$	29.06	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SLOW AUTISTIC CHILD AREA	\$ 25.99	\$ 24.20	\$ 23.56	\$ 25.56	\$	29.06	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SLOW CHILDREN AT PLAY	\$ 19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.41	\$	21.80	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO OUTLET	\$ 25.99	\$ 24.20	\$ 23.56	\$ 25.56	\$	29.08	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
ROUGH ROAD	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	45.77	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SPEED ADVISORY	\$ 15.89	\$ 13.61	\$ 13.26	\$ 14.65	\$	16.36	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
(ex. 10 MPH)								No Bid		No Bid	No Bid		No Bid
DEAD END	\$ 25.99	\$ 24.20	\$ 23.56	\$ 25.56	\$	29.08	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO PASSING ZONE	\$ 32.70	\$ 25.11	\$ 63.56	\$ 30.20	\$	49.68	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
RAILROAD ADVANCE WARNING	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.45	\$ 39.43	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SYMBOL	\$ 57.72	\$ 54.45	\$ 52.54	\$ 56.96	\$	65.25	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
BUMP	\$ 39.92	\$ 37.81	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	46.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
LOW SHOULDER	\$ 20.59	\$ 18.88	\$ 20.52	\$ 20.12	\$	25.62	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
SOFT SHOULDER	\$ 20.59	\$ 18.85	\$ 20.52	\$ 20.12	\$	25.62	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
OBJECT MARKER (LEFT)	\$ 19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.35	\$	22.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
OBJECT MARKER (RIGHT)	\$ 19.25	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.67	\$ 19.35	\$	22.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

END OF ROAD		\$	\$	\$	\$									
MARKER		27.77	24.53	14.52	19.90	\$	29.86	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	18x24	\$	\$	\$	\$									
-		19.25	18.75	17.67	19.41	\$	21.75	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	24x24	\$	\$	\$	\$		20.00	N. D. I				N. 5.1		
SIGN BLANKS		25.66	25.00	23.56	25.56	\$	29.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
.080	24x30	\$	\$	\$	\$		26.22	N. D. I				N. 5.1		
-		32.08	31.25	29.46	31.95	\$	36.30	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	30x30	\$ 40.10	\$ 39.06	\$ 36.82	\$ 39.94	\$	45.77	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
FLAGGER		\$	\$	\$	\$									
SYMBOL - EGP		20.59	18.94	20.52	19.25	\$	25.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
BE PREPARED TO		\$	\$	\$	\$									
STOP - EGP		20.59	18.94	20.52	19.25	\$	25.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
MEN WORKING -														
EGP		\$	\$	\$	\$									
LGI		20.59	18.94	20.52	19.25	\$	25.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
LEFT LANE														
CLOSED AHEAD -		\$	\$	\$	\$									
EGP		20.59	18.94	20.52	19.25	\$	25.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
RIGHT LANE														
CLOSED AHEAD -		\$	\$	\$	\$									
EGP		20.59	18.94	20.52	19.25	\$	25.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DETOUR AHEAD -		\$	\$	\$	\$									
EGP		20.59	18.94	20.52	19.25	\$	25.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
MOWERS AHEAD		\$	\$	\$	\$									
- EGP		20.59	18.94	20.52	19.25	\$	25.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
NO PASSING		\$	\$	\$	\$									
ZONE - EGP		25.41	12.81	29.97	15.26	\$	29.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DETOUR FOR		\$	\$	\$	\$									
DETOUR - EGP		20.59	18.94	20.52	19.25	\$	25.58	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DETOUR														
DETOUR														
W/LEFT		\$	Ś	Ś	Ś									
ARROW - EGP		18.90	15.15	16.41	15.40	\$	20.30	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DETOUR						<u>'</u>								
W/RIGHT		Ś	\$	Ś	\$									
ARROW - EGP		18.90	15.15	16.41	15.40	\$	20.30	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

]		45-60 days	Does not										
U-CHANNEL POS	T			45-00 days	meet spec		45 days							No Bid	No Bid
6′	1.12# per ft	\$	\$	\$	\$										
	1.12// pc/ /t	5.23	6.91	6.67	6.04	\$	10.60	\$	10.91	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
6′	2# per ft	\$	\$	\$	\$										
	pe	9.50	10.44	11.28	10.30	\$	8.60	\$	16.83	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
8′	2# per ft	\$	\$	\$	\$			١.							
	1	12.67	13.92	15.04	13.70	\$	11.45	\$	21.76	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
10'	2# per ft	\$	\$	\$	\$	_	44.20	_	26.70	N 811	N. D. I		AL DOL		
		15.84	17.40	18.79	17.14	\$	14.29	\$	26.70	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
10'	3# per ft	\$ 23.88	\$	\$	\$,	20.10	,	20.64	Na Dia	No Die	No Die	No Did	No Did	No Did
	-		30.00	\$	25.10	\$	28.10	\$	39.64	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
12'	3# per ft	\$ 28.66	\$ 30.24	32.43	\$ 30.10	Ś	32.80	\$	49.54	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
		20.00	30.24	32.43	30.10	Ş	32.00	Ş	49.54	INO BIU	INO BIU	INO BIU	INO BIU	INO BIU	INO BIU
U-CHANNEL POS	T BRACKET	1		1								•			
	6" S/B	\$	\$	\$	\$										
CROSS BRACKET	0 3/2	6.20	7.09	3.22	3.48	\$	6.80	No B	id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	12" S/B	\$	\$	\$	\$										
	-,	9.00	9.79	9.16	8.90	\$	15.90	No B	id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
90* U-Channel		\$	\$	\$	\$	_									
Сар		3.55	3.50	2.79	3.48	\$	6.90	No B	id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
180* U-Channel		\$	\$	\$	\$										
Сар		3.55	3.50	2.79	3.48	\$	6.90	No B	ıd	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
12" Jumbo		\$	\$	\$	\$	_	42.00				N. D. I		AL DOL		
Bracket 90*		9.00	9.79	9.16	8.90	\$	12.80	No B	Id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
12" Jumbo Bracket 180*		\$	\$	\$	\$	_	42.00				N. D. I		AL DOL		
Bracket 180		9.00	9.79	9.16	8.90	\$	12.80	No B	Id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Crosspiece for					_										
Jumbo Bracket		\$ 9.00	\$ 9.79	\$ 9.16	\$ 8.90	Ś	15.90	No B	: _d	No Bid	No Did	No Did	No Bid	No Did	No Bid
		9.00	9.79	9.10	8.90	Ş	15.90	NO B	iu	INO BIO	No Bid	No Bid	INO BIO	No Bid	INO BIO
STREET BLADE B	LANK			T 4		1									
	6"x18"	\$	\$	\$	\$,	45.50	N - 5	: 4	Na Dist	No Did	No Dist	No Dist	No Dist	No Dist
		11.63	20.00	7.69	10.88	\$	15.50	No B	Id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x24"	\$ 15.20	\$	\$	\$,	20.00	No. D	: al	Na Dia	No Die	No Did	No Did	No Did	No Did
		15.29	28.22 \$	10.25	14.47	\$	20.60	No B	IU	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x30"	\$ 19.21	\$ 30.28	\$ 12.81	\$ 17.80	\$	24.80	No B	id	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x36"					<u> </u>						-			
	ט אאט	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	29.80	No B	IU	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

		22.72	36.31	15.37	21.26									
	C"12"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
	6"x42"	26.68	42.39	17.94	24.71	\$	34.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x48"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
	0 846	30.79	48.44	20.49	29.16	\$	41.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x54"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
	0 754	34.05	58.50	23.06	32.75	\$	44.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	9"x18"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
		17.23	25.00	11.19	15.75	\$	22.70	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	9"x24"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
		23.19	32.90	14.92	20.84	\$	28.10	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	9"x30"	\$ 70.72	\$	\$	\$	ċ	26.25	No Did	Na Dia	No Did	No Die	No Die	No Diel	Na Did
		28.73	41.13 \$	18.65 \$	25.92 \$	\$	36.25	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	9"x36"	\$ 34.81	\$ 49.36	\$ 22.39	\$ 31.00	\$	43.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
		\$	\$	\$	\$1.00	Ş	43.30	INU DIU	INO DIU	INO DIU	INU DIU	INU DIU	INU DIU	INU DIU
	9"x42"	40.33	۶ 57.88	26.12	36.15	\$	50.05	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
		\$	\$	\$	\$	7	30.03	140 Bld	140 Bid	INO BIG	No bia	No Bid	140 Bid	140 Bid
	9"x48"	45.99	66.15	29.85	41.18	\$	57.70	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	0" 54"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
	9"x54"	49.56	74.42	33.58	47.80	\$	64.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
						•								
YELLOW BLANKS														
	CV-40V	\$	\$	\$	\$									
	6"x18"	5.17	5.12	5.69	5.55	\$	10.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x24"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
	0 X24	6.73	6.76	7.59	6.66	\$	14.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x30"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
	0 730	8.55	8.45	9.48	8.24	\$	17.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x36"	\$	\$	\$	\$									
		10.18	10.26	11.38	9.88	\$	21.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x42"	\$	\$	\$	\$	_	24.50	N - Pid	N - Did	No Dist	NI- D'	N - Di i	NI- Di I	N - D: I
		11.97	11.97	13.27	11.57	\$	24.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	6"x48"	\$	\$ 12.70	\$ 15.17	\$	_	20.00	No Did	No Did	No Did	No Did	No Did	No Did	No Did
		\$ \$	13.78 \$	15.17 \$	13.10 \$	\$	28.90	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DEAD END		2.88	\$ 13.68	8.21	\$ 2.70	\$	10.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
		\$	\$	\$	\$	ې	10.00	INO DIU	NO BIU	INO BIU	INO DIU	INO DIU	NO BIU	INO BIU
NO OUTLET		2.88	۶ 13.68	8.21	۶ 2.70	\$	10.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
		2.00	15.00	J.21	2.70	, Y	10.00	110 DIG	NO DIG	. NO DIG	140 Dia	NO DIG	110 Diu	NO DIG
		3M RPM-	3M 290		3M RPM-	Ctimes	nite Model	Stimsonite Model					3M Series	
		SIVI KPIVI-	3IVI 29U	l	SIVI KPIVI-	Sumst	mile Model	Stillisollite Model		1			Sivi Series	I

		290	1		290	88		88						290	
	Two-Way	\$	\$		\$									\$	
	Yellow	1.15	1.16	No Bid	1.13	\$	2.00	\$	1.35	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	1.10	No Bid
RAISED	Two-Way	\$	\$		\$									\$	
PAVEMENT	White	1.15	1.16	No Bid	1.13	\$	2.00	\$	1.35	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	1.10	No Bid
MARKERS	Two-Way White/Red	\$ 1.15	\$ 1.16	No Bid	\$ 1.13	\$	2.00	\$	1.35	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1.10	No Bid
				pallet of 36 only	Does not meet Spec.			!	5-7 days					30 days	
	Case Size	No Bid	No Bid	55 lb	12 per case	No Bid		55 lb		50 lb	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
BITUMINOUS MARKER ADHESIVE	Case Price	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 53.90	\$ 239.40	No Bid		\$	27.55	\$ 26.50	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
ADRESIVE	Brand	No Bid	No Bid	Martin Asphalt	Epoxy-one set applies 15 RPM's	No Bid		Marti	ins	Crafco-34269- 091	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
		7-14 days	35 days	10-14 days	up to 45 days	15	days								
DELINEATOR	WHITE	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.66	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.67	\$	0.81	No Bi	d	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
BUTTON	RED	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.66	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.67	\$	0.81	No Bi	d	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
LETTERS/NUMBE	DC .														
LETTERS/NOWIBE	- 13			21-30 days											
	3" (3C)	\$ 8.20	\$ 8.20	\$ 24.75	\$ 7.96	No Bid		No Bi	d	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
UPPERCASE WHITE 4090C Diamond Grade	4" (4C)	\$ 13.70	\$ 13.65	\$ 48.20	\$ 12.71	No Bid		No Bi	d	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Diamona Grade	6" (6C)	\$ 25.33	\$ 35.09	\$ 67.50	\$ 23.94	No Bid		No Bi	d	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
LOWERCASE WHITE 4090C Diamond Grade	3"	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 10.74	No Bid		No Bi	d	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

	4.5"	\$ 15.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 13.89	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
		\$	\$	\$	\$	NO BIG	INO BIG	NO BIG	NO BIG	INO BIU	NO BIG	NO BIG	NO BIG
	3" (3C)	2.85	2.79	4.21	2.58	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
UPPERCASE 4090C BLACK	4" (4C)	\$ 3.72	\$ 3.62	\$ 5.62	\$ 3.54	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
VINYL		\$	\$	\$	\$	NO BIG	INO BIG	NO BIG	NO BIG	INO BIU	NO BIG	NO BIG	NO BIG
	6" (6C)	6.03	8.87	12.60	5.58	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
LOWERCASE				\$	\$	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
4090C BLACK	3"	No Bid	No Bid	۶ 21.00	2.73	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
VINYL				21.00	2.73	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
WHITE SYMBOLS	Diamond Grade	e 4090C											
Anastropha (1)	1"	\$		\$	\$								
Apostrophe (')	1	5.10	No Bid	22.50	4.89	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Ampersand (&)	2"	\$		\$	\$								
		5.15	No Bid	31.40	4.59	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Ampersand (&)	4"	\$ 12.80	No Bid	\$ 62.80	\$ 12.70	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Comma (,)	1"	\$ 5.10	No Bid	\$ 22.50	\$ 4.89	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Arrows White	5"x 4" for 6" street blade blanks	\$ 11.50	No Bid	\$ 52.20	\$ 6.11	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
DG3	5"x 4" for 9" street blade blanks	\$ 11.50	No Bid	\$ 52.20	\$ 13.10	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Symbols/Black Vi	inyl 4090C												
Apostrophe (')	1"	\$ 1.92	No Bid	\$ 17.20	\$ 1.75	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Ampersand (&)	2"	\$		\$	\$								
ροισαιία (α)	-	2.29	No Bid	26.80	2.02	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Ampersand (&)	4"	\$ 3.93	No Bid	\$ 53.60	\$ 3.54	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

Comma (,)	1"	\$ 1.92	No Bid	\$ 17.20	\$ 1.75	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
		\$	INO DIG	\$	\$	NO DIG	NO DIG	No blu	NO BIG	NO BIG	No blu	NO BIG	NO BIG
Arrows	5"x4"	4.05	No Bid	39.60	1.34	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
				<u> </u>	T					1	<u> </u>	20 days	
TRANSFER TAPE		100 yd		10-14 days	100 yd							ARO	No Bid
	C//VEOVD	\$	\$	\$	\$							\$	
	6"X50YD	56.20	20.89	32.53	25.43	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	45.00	No Bid
CLEAR	9"X50YD	\$ 83.33	\$ 96.43	\$ 48.79	\$ 41.10	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 67.50	No Bid
Canaa / Barrala / B													
Cones/Barrels/B	arricades		_							1	.	T	
				14-21 days		10 days			5-7 days		6 working days		
Channelizer		\$	\$	\$	\$				\$		\$		
Barrel		54.55	60.25	58.70	61.30	\$ 39.50	No Bid	No Bid	58.49	No Bid	68.35	No Bid	No Bid
Cones		\$ 14.92	\$ 16.20	\$ 16.53	\$ 15.90	\$ 13.75	\$ 16.00	No Bid	\$ 14.99	No Bid	\$ 15.35	No Bid	No Bid
Safety Flags		\$ 3.90	\$ 3.60	\$ 4.26	\$ 4.75	\$ 3.75	\$ 2.70	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 3.95	No Bid	No Bid
Plastic Folding Barricade		\$ 46.40	\$ 52.05	\$ 47.80	\$ 54.18	\$ 51.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 54.35	No Bid	\$ 54.70	No Bid	No Bid
Stop/Slow Paddles		\$ 20.95	\$ 19.43	\$ 18.90	\$ 23.87	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 35.70	No Bid	No Bid
Stop/Slow Sign		\$	\$	\$	\$			113 - 13	112 213		\$		
Only		15.75	14.71	34.90	9.33	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	26.35	No Bid	No Bid
Solar LED flasher		\$ 34.50	No Bid	\$ 92.60	\$ 32.65	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 76.99	No Bid	\$ 31.40	No Bid	No Bid
		•	•	•	'	•	•		1	'			
BARRICADE SHEE	TING											20 days	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ć	<u> </u>							ARO	No Bid
Orange/White	Left Slant	\$ 103.70	\$ 156.63	\$ 122.50	\$ 114.00	\$ 121.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 79.00	No Bid

	Right Slant	\$ 103.70	\$ 156.63	\$ 122.50	\$ 114.00	\$ 121.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 79.00	No Bid
	Left Slant	\$ 103.70	\$ 168.83	\$ 186.40	\$ 102.00	\$ 189.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
Red/White	Right Slant	\$ 103.70	\$ 168.83	\$ 186.40	\$ 102.00	\$ 189.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
	Yellow	\$ 62.60	\$ 54.80	\$ 437.40	No Bid	\$ 33.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 173.70	No Bid
PAVEMENT TAPE	White	\$ 62.60	\$ 54.80	\$ 437.40	No Bid	\$ 33.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 173.70	No Bid
		•											
	White	\$	\$	\$	\$							\$	
	white	49.00	64.71	46.25	39.61	\$ 60.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	32.50	No Bid
	Yellow	\$	\$	\$	\$							\$	
		49.00	64.71	46.25	39.61	\$ 60.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	32.50	No Bid
REFLECTIVE	Orange	\$	\$	\$	\$							\$	
SHEETING	Orange	49.00	64.71	46.25	39.61	\$ 60.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	39.50	No Bid
		<u> </u>	\$	\$	\$							\$	
	Divo	Ş	Y					N. D. I	N = D: d	Na Dial	NI - D'-I	22 -2	
	Blue	\$ 49.00	64.71	46.25	39.61	\$ 60.00	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	32.50	No Bid
	Blue	\$ 49.00 \$	64.71 \$	46.25 \$	39.61 \$	\$ 60.00	No Bid	No Bid	INO BIO	INO BIO	NO BIG	\$	No Bid

SOLAR FLASHING	BEACONS												
LED Stop Sign	Single 8" red	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid		No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1,619.00	\$ 2,414.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,176.35
Beacon w/pole kit*	Single 12" red	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid		No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1,649.00	\$ 2,414.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,210.35
LED Hazard Beacon w/pole kit*	Single 8" yellow/ amber	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1,619.00	\$ 2,414.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,176.35

	Single 12" yellow/ amber	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1,649.00	\$ 2,414.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,210.35
School Zone	Double 8" yellow/ amber	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,279.00	\$ 4,415.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,886.35
Lights w/pole kit*	Double 12" yellow/ amber	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,359.00	\$ 4,415.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,954.35
Pole Kit for New I purchase of ju	•	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 269.99	\$ 497.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 512.35
Compact Solar Bo	eacons	110 5.0	11000	110 5.0	1100 510	110 5.0	110 514	110 5.0	100.00	1 .57.00	1100 510	1.00 5.00	0-2:00
Single	E115 S12 Red	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1,634.00	\$ 1,917.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1,509.00
Single	E116 S12 Yellow	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1,634.00	\$ 1,917.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 1,509.00
Double	E117 D12 Red	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,337.00	\$ 3,107.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,186.00
Double	E118 D12 Yellow	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,337.00	\$ 57.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 2,186.00
	40 watt	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 154.99	\$ 215.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 171.00
Solar Panel	60 watt	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 243.99	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 257.00
	80 watt	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 279.99	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 304.00
Night S	ensor	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 70.00
Programma	ble Timer	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 419.99	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 436.00
Pole	15'CT/4" round	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 269.99	\$ 443.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 290.00
Anchor Bolts	3/4x27"	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 17.99	\$ 38.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 19.00

Charge Controller	Regulator 10 amp	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 43.99	\$ 397.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 46.00
Charge Controller	Regulator 30 amp	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 209.99	\$ 555.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 223.00
Pole Cap	4" aluminum	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 27.99	\$ 23.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 29.00
Cabinet	1.66 cu ft/.080 aluminum/15 lbs.	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 246.67	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 230.00
LED Light	Equivalent to K & K Systems item #JXC-200- DCR	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 119.99	\$ 127.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 109.57
Housing	Equivalent to K & K Systems item #EPX-112- TCN-EVN-ON	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 94.99	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	\$ 87.28

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.5.c

6. Office of Finance and Accounting

a. Grants Administration: Approval to Apply for Grants

1) MDEQ - Solid Waste Assistance Competitive Grant Program

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Lee Caldwell seconded the motion to apply for the Solid Waste Assistance Competitive Grant from MDEQ, as presented, benefitting Environmental Services in the amount of \$40,000 for one year with no match required and authorize the Board President to sign the grant documents.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.6.a.1

2) MDAH – DeSoto County Facilities Management

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Harvey Lee seconded the motion to apply for the Community Heritage Preservation Grant for Facilities Management, as presented, to help offset costs associated with replacement of exterior doors at the Courthouse; from Mississippi Department of Archives and History (MDAH) benefitting Facilities Management in the amount of \$50,000 for two years; with the county match of 20% - \$10,000; and authorize the Board President to sign the grant documents.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.6.a.2

b. Sheriff Requests

1) Approval for Sheriff's Outstanding P.O.'s

Supervisor Mark Gardner made the motion and Supervisor Lee Caldwell seconded the motion approve carrying over the Sheriff's Department outstanding purchasing orders to the FY 2015 budget as follows:

3/26/14-P.O. 128396 for 6,000 rounds of ammunition for duty rifles\$ 2,274.00
(For rifles carried in cars and for SWAT team duty rifles)
4/10/14-P.O. 128596 for 4,000 rounds of ammunition for sniper rifles\$ 3,080.00
((For sniper team rifles for duty and training purposes)

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.6.b.1

2) Sheriff Claim for Ammunition

Chief Financial Officer Tom Arnold presented a request for the Sheriff's Department as follows:

The Sheriff's Department ordered some ammunition some time ago but it will not be received in time to put it on the September 15th claims docket, but they will be able to get it received during the 2014 fiscal year, prior to September 30th. The purchase order number is 128549, issued to Precision Delta, for the amount of \$26,775.00, and is for 85,000 rounds of rifle ammunition for training purposes. The deputies train all during the year, so that is the reason for the high number of rounds. We request that the board allow us to issue a check for the order when it is received so it can be paid out of the 2014 fiscal year budget and not have to carry the funds over to the 2015 fiscal year budget. The funds are in the budget to cover the cost.

Sheriff Bill Rasco said this ammunition was ordered in May and their delivery date is always a long time into the future.

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to approve to issue a check from the FY 2014 budget for the Sheriff's Department for the amount of \$26,775.00, for 85,000 rounds of rifle ammunition for training purposes purchase order number 128549 to Precision Delta.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit H.6.b.2

c. Supplemental Claims Docket

Chief Financial Officer Tom Arnold, of the Office of Finance and Accounting, presented the claims docket to the Board. Mr. Arnold read the items on the supplemental claims docket individually and the Board discussed these items.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin asked about the following claims:

Page 93 Baker Roofing: Director of Facilities Management Tony Martin said there are several leaks in the administration building and the balconies are causing most of the issues. Mr. Martin said they are unable to locate the leaks; therefore no one will guarantee it when they do the repairs. Mr. Martin said it would cost approximately \$5,000 to \$7,000 to tear down the balcony and rebuild it.

Page 13 Williams Scotsman: Mr. Martin said this is rental for aviation that is paid annually.

Page 9 Robert Huff Designs: Mr. Arnold said this is for the new State Seal.

Supervisor Bill Russell asked about the claim on page 2 to Mississippi State. Mr. Arnold said this is for Agri Ed.

Supervisor Mark Gardner asked about the following claims:

Page 16 Siemens: Mr. Martin said that is for a switch that lets Siemens know if the coolers are running. Supervisor Gardner commented that the County is starting to spend money on the system.

Supervisor Gardner asked Mr. Martin if the coolers are protected from a freeze. Mr. Martin said the pipes are heat treated and that protects them from freezing so we are protected.

Page 17 Dell Computer Corp.: Mr. Arnold said that is for 10 licenses for Windows.

Page 27 Treadway law Firm: Mr. Arnold said that is for legal fees for Allghiera VS Wilson.

Page 30 Panola Paper: Mr. Arnold said this is for folding wagons. He said it is probably for poll workers to carry ballots and other materials to the precincts and back to the courthouse. Mr. Arnold said he would check on this and get back to the Board.

Later in the meeting Mr. Arnold confirmed that the wagons are made of rubber for carrying voting supplies for the Election Commissioners.

Supervisor Bill Russell made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to approve the claim for wagons for the Election Commission.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>NO</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>NO</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

Pate 31 Unistar-Sparco Computers, Inc.: Mr. Arnold said this is for printers for the elections for printing ballots and voter registration cards.

Page 33 Coastal Helicopters: This is for installation of the Flir system that was given to the Sheriff's Department. The seizure funds did not pay for installation.

Page 34 Comcast: Mr. Arnold said he believes it is the maintenance agreement for the new jail but he is not sure.

Later in the meeting Mr. Arnold said he checked on this Comcast claim and a routine bill for cable and internet service at the old jail.

Supervisor Mark Gardner made the motion and Supervisor Harvey Lee seconded the motion to approve paying the claim to Comcast for a routine bill for cable and internet service at the old jail in the amount of \$156.15

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

Page 39 Bound Tree Medical: (SCAAP) Grant Funds for housing illegal aliens.

Page 59 North Mississippi Two-Way Communication: Labor and Travel. County Administrator Vanessa Lynchard said she would get more information on this claim.

Later in the meeting Ms. Lynchard said this has nothing to do with travel. It is labor to install something that was hit by lightning.

Page 59 Elliott Data Systems: Ms. Lynchard said she would check into this one as well.

Later in the meeting Ms. Lynchard said the claim with Elliott Data System is for print cartridges.

Page 59 Emergency Equipment Professional: Ms. Lynchard said she would check into this claim.

Later in the meeting Ms. Lynchard said it was explained to her that a fire hydrant is a wrench. This

claim is for two sets of wrenches.

Page 62 Laundry South Systems. Mr. Martin said this claim is for the washer and dryer at the Animal Shelter. Supervisor Medlin asked if this is the cost of freight from Pearl, MS. Supervisor Gardner asked if it cost \$500 to deliver the washer and dryer. Mr. Martin said Procurement got quotes and this was the lowest.

Page 67 Harris, Madden & Powell: Worker's compensation. Mr. Arnold said this is combined into one line item in the general fund.

Page 69 Forestry Supplies: Mr. Arnold said this is for Aqua Water.

Page 73 Country Mini Storage: Mr. Arnold said this is mini storage for one chancery court reporter and is paid annually.

Page 76 CDW Government: Mr. Arnold said this is for one TV for Emergency Operations Control and one for the EMA Building. He said the TV's are covered under the MRC grant. They use these to monitor weather. He said it is for monitors and mounting racks. Deputy Chief of EMA Chris Olson was called by phone to answer questions confirmed that it is covered by the grant.

Page 82 Harris, Madden and Powell: Mr. Arnold said this is for workers compensation at the Road Department only.

In accordance with Section 19-13-31 and Section 19-11-23 of the Mississippi Code Annotated, Supervisor Mark Gardner made the motion and Supervisor Bill Russell seconded the motion to authorize the Chancery Clerk to pay the bills as presented by the Chief Financial Officer except for one TV for Emergency Operations Control and one TV for EMA.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>NO</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>ABSTAINED</u>

Supervisor riarvey Lee, ritur District

Supervisor Mark Gardner made the motion and Supervisor Lee Caldwell seconded the motion to pay the claim for the TV's on the MRC grant.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	4 D C T 4 T

Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District ABSTAINED

Supervisor Mark Gardner made the motion and Supervisor Lee Caldwell seconded the motion to approve paying the claim to the Treadway Law Firm for guardian ad litem for a minor child and authorize Accounting to correct the coding.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	YES
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

7. Road Department: Finding of Fact – Church Road (State Aid Portion at 5568 &5560)

Road Manager Andy Swims presented pictures of damages done to private property associated with drainage and erosion on a state-aid portion of Church Road which is affecting the health, safety and welfare of the public. Mr. Swims said it would be necessary to replace driveway culverts at 5660 and 5568 Church Road at an estimated cost of \$3,987.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell said she has seen the road under water.

Supervisor Bill Russell made the motion and Supervisor Lee Caldwell seconded the motion to accept the finding of fact and that the conditions at 5660 and 5568 Church Road are adversely affecting the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of DeSoto County; that replacing the driveway culverts at 5660 and 5568 Church Road will help prevent future flooding and protect the road and right of way, and to authorize the Road Manager to proceed with the repairs at an estimated cost of \$3,987; in accordance with Mississippi code section 19-5-92.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:	
Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	YES
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit H.7

8. Environmental Services

a. Fee Adjustment for Contractor at Rubbish Pit

- 1) Rubbish Pit Contractor Fee
- 2) Environmental Impact Fee
- 3) Authorize Board Attorney to work on Agreement

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Bill Russell seconded the motion to approve adjusting the pricing schedule for Commercial Contractors ONLY who utilize the DeSoto County Rubbish Facility located at 5255 West Sandidge Road in Olive Branch to \$6.70 per cubic yard; plus an environmental surcharge of ten percent (10%); effective date for the adjustment is January 1, 2015 and to hire the Smith, Phillips, Mitchell, Scott and Nowak Law Firm to work on the contract addendum with Waste Connection for the DeSoto County Rubbish Pit fees and the Environmental Impact fees as presented.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

b. Stormwater: Stormwater Awareness Week Declaration 9/22 – 9/26 2014

Mr. Laughter said that all of the municipalities are also declaring the same week as the County as Stormwater Awareness Week. This year volunteers are reading "Stormy the Raindrop" to the schools; kindergartners and first graders are very happy to have a guest reader. Mr. Laughter said coloring books would be donated to the schools and all students would receive one to take home.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Mark Gardner seconded the motion to approve declaring 9/22 - 9/26 2014 as Stormwater Awareness Week.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>

Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit H.8.b

9. Single Access Subdivision

This item was discussed in the Planning Commission Agenda under Item I.

10. Board Attorney

a. Walls Sewer District: Authority to work on transferring County's interest in various easements to Town of Walls (MOU 2007)

Board Attorney Tony Nowak said that in 2007 the County entered into an agreement with Walls for interest in easements for Walls Sewer District. Mr. Nowak said the Town of Walls reached out to him requesting to transfer easements held by the County to the Walls Sewer District.

Supervisor Bill Russell made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to approve transferring of interest in certain property held by DeSoto County for the benefit of the Walls Sewer District; and no title search to be done by the County.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.10.a

b. Nail Road Overlay – MOU

Board Attorney Tony Nowak presented an MOU with Horn Lake, for the overlaying of Nail Road. Mr. Nowak said this is the agreement that was held over so that each of the Board members could review.

Mr. Nowak said per the proposed agreement the County's only obligation is to provide one half of the local match. The project cost is estimated at \$546,435.00. Mr. Nowak said 80% of the cost will be federally funded, 10% of the cost (estimated at \$54,643.50) will be funded by the County. He said the City of Horn Lake will also fund 10% (estimated at \$54,643.50). Mr. Nowak said the City will engineer the project, in consultation with the County. The City will also advertise for bids, award the construction contract and supervise the construction. The County's financial contribution will be due within 30 days after the City awards the contract for work.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell made the motion and Supervisor Bill Russell seconded the motion to approve the MOU with the City of Horn Lake as presented relating to work performed for the overlay of Nail Road from Horn Lake Road to State Highway 301, in Horn Lake, DeSoto County, MS; with an 80% - 20% split and the County portion being 10% at an estimated cost of \$54,643.50; and to make it part of the Board minutes.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.10.b

c. Nail Road Hydrologic & Hydraulic Analysis - vicinity of Nail Rd. & I-55

Board Attorney Tony Nowak said the Board requested Tracy Huffman of Waggoner Engineering to do a hydrology study in the vicinity of I-55 and Nail Road extended.

Mr. Nowak said the MPO will pay half of the cost of the study and MDOT will pay the other half. The cities and County will not be obligated to pay toward the cost of the study.

Mr. Nowak said he reviewed the MOU and everything looks good. He said it is ready for the Board President's signature. He said if the cost of the study is over \$450,000, the County may have to pay the overage. Mr. Nowak said the County will hire the engineer.

Tracy Huffman of Waggoner Engineering said the proposed Mall does have to be considered and they will include that as part of the study. The model will be available for the mall developer.

Supervisor Mark Gardner said they have asked the mall developer for a hydrology study several times and have not received it.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell said she is concerned about water coming to Horn Lake and the unincorporated county. She said she is pushing for an interchange.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin asked if \$450,000 was enough money and clarified that the County is responsible for anything over that amount.

Mr. Huffman said the scope of the work is outline in the agreement and there should not be any surprises.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell made the motion and Supervisor Mark Gardner seconded the motion to approve the MOU with the Mississippi Transportation Commission for a Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis in the vicinity of Nail Road and I-55 as presented in Exhibit H.10.c and to approve subsequent agreement if the study exceeds \$450,000.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	YES
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	YES
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	YES
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

See Exhibit H.10.c

11. County Administrator: Approval to replace payroll check

Supervisor Jessie Medlin made the motion and Supervisor Bill Russell seconded the motion to approve replacing a lost check in the amount of \$692.66 from the State of Mississippi-DeSoto County.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

12. Adopt DeSoto County Budget and Tax Levy for Fiscal Year 2015

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Mark Gardner seconded the motion to adopt the FY2015 budget as presented and with the changes previously discussed and approved by the Board during budget discussions; with the exception of the Region IV budget; and to set the tax levy as presented on the approved budget and to set the millage rated based on the 2015 budget as follows:

IN THE MATTER OF LEVYING COUNTY AND AD VALOREM TAXES FOR

FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015

INCLUDING ROAD MAINTENANCE, SCHOOL DISTRICT AND ANY OTHER TAXING DISTRICTS

It is ordered and adjudged by the Board of Supervisors of DeSoto County that the following ad valorem tax rates, or levies, be and the same hereby are, imposed and levied for fiscal year 2014-2015, upon all taxable property in DeSoto County, State of Mississippi, and in the County school district, drainage districts and any other taxing districts in DeSoto County as the same are now assessed and listed or may hereinafter be assessed and listed on the Assessment Rolls of said County, as of January 1, 2014 upon each dollar of valuation.

LEVIED

PURPOSE OF LEVY **MILLS** FOR DESOTO COUNTY GENERAL FUND: 4.00 (1) Levy authorized by Section 27-39-329, Mississippi Code of 1972 3.00 mills General County General County Mandatory (current Expenses and maintenance authorized By Section 27-39-329 (2)(b), Mississippi Code of 1972) 1.00 mill For General County purposes (current expense and maintenance (2)authorized by Section 27-39-303, Miss. Code of 1972) 22.65 This levy for 22.92 mills for General County purposes is for the following items: office and administration, judicial, law enforcement, assistance to individuals, farm and home services, operation and maintenance of county facilities, economic opportunities, advertising county resources, libraries, county health, soil and water conservation and emergency medical services, as shown by the budget to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the regulations of the State Audit Department. (3) For maintenance and construction of roads, as authorized by Section 27-39-305, Code of 1972. 2.97 (4) For county-wide maintenance and construction of bridges and culverts, as authorized by Section 65-15-7, Code of 1972 4.00 (5) For operation of the solid waste disposal program and the rubbish pit, authorized by Section 19-5-21, Code of 1972 1.22 2005 \$6.960 million refunding bonds, interest and sinking (Section 19-9-9) (6) (Fund 223) .58 (7) 2007 \$8.755 million refunding bonds, interest and sinking (Section 19-9-9) (Fund 216) .50 2009 Public Improvement refunding bonds, interest and sinking (8) (Section 19-9-9) (Fund 224) 1.00 (9) 2009 \$16 million general obligation bonds, interest and sinking (Section 19-9-9) (Fund 225) 1.00 (10)2009 Refunding bonds-Mississippi Development Bank (Section 19-9-9) (Fund 226) .35 2010 Refunding Bonds (Section 19-9-9) (Fund 227) (11).62 (12)2010 \$5 Million Bonds (Section 19-9-9) (Fund 228) .30 (13)2012 Refunding Bonds I&S (Section 19-9-9) (Fund 229) 1.30

TOTAL DEBT SERVICE......6.18

2013 \$10.5 Million Bonds I&S (Section 19-9-9) (Fund 231)

.53

(14)

TOT	AL DESOTO COUNTY	41.02
	FOR DESOTO COUNTY SCHOOL OPERATIONS:	
(1)	For the operations of all public schools in the DeSoto County School District, as required by the State Department of Education, and as authorized by Section 37-57-104, et. seq., Code of 1972	40.35
(2)	Board of Education Notes interest and sinking fund (Section 37-59-107)	3.00
(3)	Board of Education Bonds interest and sinking fund (Section 37-59-23)	10.22
TOT	AL DESOTO COUNTY SCHOOLS MILLAGE	53.57
	FOR NORTHWEST MISSISSIPPI COMMUNITY COLLEGE OPERA	ATIONS:
(1)	Support of Northwest Mississippi Community College, as authorized by Section 37-29-141, Code of 1972	1.99
(2)	Support of Northwest Mississippi Community College Building and Improvement Fund, as authorized by Section 37-29-141, Code of 1972	2.12
TOT	AL NORTHWEST MISS. COMMUNITY COLLEGE MILLAGE	4.11
TOT	AL EDUCATION MILLAGE	57.68
TOT	AL COUNTY-WIDE MILLAGE	98.70
	OTHER LEVIES:	
(1)	For support of County Fire Protection Districts, in accordance with Section	19-5-189, Code of 1972, as follows:
	a. Brights/Lewisburg Fire Protection District (real property only)	1.00
	b. Walls Fire Protection District (real property only)c. Eudora Fire Protection District (real property only)	1.00 1.00
	d. Love Fire Protection District (real property only)	1.00
(2)	For support of Volunteer Fire Departments in accordance with Section 83-1-	-39, Code of 1972, as follows:
	All property, exclusive of municipalities	2.00
(3)	For providing fire protection, a forest acreage tax on all timbered and uncultivated lands in DeSoto County is hereby levied as authorized by Section 49-19-115, Code of 1972.	.09 per acre
(4)	Yazoo Mississippi Delta Joint Water Management District	•
(1)	(Section 51-8-43)	.75
(5)	Drainage tax against all property liable therefore, as authorized by Orders of Records as of this date, and as authorized by Section 51-31-65, Code of 1972	
	a. Cane Mussacuna Drainage District	8.00
	b. Northwest Mississippi Consolidated Drainage Districtc. Short Fork Creek Drainage District	3.50 0.25
	d. Lake Cormorant Drainage District	0.00
	e. Horn Lake Creek Watershed Drainage District	2.60
	of these levies exceed any of the limitations set out in Title 27, Chapter 39, Codendments thereto.	de of 1972,
STAT	TE OF MISSISSIPPI, DESOTO COUNTY	
hereb	E. Davis, Chancery Court Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors y certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the taxes levied by the Boar meeting.	
Giver	n under my hand and official seal this the 15th day of September, 2014.	
(SEA		
	W.E. Davis, Chancery Clerk	
The	motion passed by a vote as follows:	

57

9/15/2014

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	YES

Supervisor Mark Gardner recused himself and left the room from the following discussion.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell made the motion and Supervisor Harvey Lee seconded the motion to approve the Region IV budget for FY2015 as presented.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>RECUSED</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

See Exhibit H.12

I. PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA

DESOTO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ORDER OF ITEMS September 15, 2014

I. **CONSENT:**

a. **Thornhill Minor Lot** (**6948**) – Application is for final subdivision approval of one (1) lot of 8.80 acres and one (1) lot of 1.84 acres, identified as Parcel #4-08-5-16-00-0-00026-00 and Parcel #4-08-5-16-00-0-00026-01. Subject property is located on the west side of Tulane Road and south of Wheeler Road in Section 16, Township 4, Range 8 and is zoned Agricultural (A). (District 5)

Mr. Haysley introduced the Consent agenda. Supervisor Lee questioned why the property was being divided. Mr. Haysley explained that he believed the property was being divided for family reasons.

Supervisor Lee made a motion to approve the consent agenda. Supervisor Caldwell seconded the motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN	<u>YES</u>
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, MARK GARDNER	<u>YES</u>
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL	ABSENT
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, LEE CALDWELL	<u>YES</u>
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, HARVEY LEE	<u>YES</u>

II. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>

a. **Building Permit Report**

Mr. Haysley presented the building report. He stated that while residential permits were down from the previous month, they were still up 22% from 2013 to 2014 year-to-date. He also said that the Board had been provided with an estimate of how many new residents the permits might represent, but cautioned that this was only an estimate based on Census data and may not represent the exact number of new residents.

b. Minor Lot

i. **Tennial Minor Lot (6926)** – Application is for final subdivision approval of one (1) lot of 2.56 acres, one (1) lot of 1.59 acres, one (1) lot of 1.51 acres, and one (1) lot of 3.73 acres, identified as

Parcel #2-07-4-19-00-0-00009-07, Parcel #2-07-4-19-00-0-00009-00, and Parcel #2-07-4-19-00-0-00009-09. Subject property is located on the north side of Bankston Rd. and east of Sidewinder Rd. in Section 19, Township 2, Range 7 and is zoned Agricultural-Residential (A-R). (District 5)

Mr. Haysley presented the application. Supervisor Gardner questioned the location of the building relative to the property line on Lot 4, asking whether it encroached on the required setback. Mr. Haysley explained that the subdivision would rectify the setback issue.

Supervisor Lee asked for clarification on the 600 foot easement length requirement. Mr. Haysley clarified the reason for the rule and said that the Planning Commission recommended that the emergency management department approve any driveway on the easement before Lot 2 is developed. Supervisor Lee asked if there was a possibility that the county may have to take over the driveway one day as a public road. Mr. Haysley stated that the Board could condition approval on not taking over the road. Supervisor Medlin further clarified the reason for the easement restrictions, and stated that they were meant to prevent property owners from requesting the county take over a driveway as a public road.

Supervisor Lee made a motion to approve the subdivision on the condition that the ingress/easement access remain a private drive and the county will not take over the ingress/egress easement as a public road. Supervisor Medlin seconded the motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN	<u>YES</u>
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, MARK GARDNER	<u>YES</u>
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL	<u>ABSENT</u>
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, LEE CALDWELL	<u>YES</u>
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, HARVEY LEE	<u>YES</u>

ii. **Sammons Minor Lot (6949)** – Application is for final subdivision approval of one (1) lot of 2.75 acres, one (1) lot of 3.00 acres and one (1) lot of 3.00 acres, identified as Parcel #2-07-7-35-00-0-00014-00. Subject property is located on the east side of Malone Road and north of Byhalia Road in Section 35, Township 2, Range 7 and is zoned Agricultural (A). (District 5)

Mr. Haysley introduced the application, and stated that he applicant was requesting an ingress/egress easement longer than the allowable 600°. Supervisor Lee asked where the driveway would be located, and where the existing house is. Mr. Haysley explained that the driveway is on the southern boundary, and a house is on Lot 3. Supervisor Gardner asked for the Board to be shown where the driveway is, and Mr. Haysley stated that new driveway will not be added but rather the new lots will be served by the existing driveway. He stated that the easement would be approximately 1,400° long.

Supervisor Lee made a motion to approve the subdivision on the condition that the driveway remain private and not be taken over as a public road. Supervisor Medlin seconded the motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN	<u>YES</u>
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, MARK GARDNER	<u>YES</u>
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL	<u>YES</u>
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, LEE CALDWELL	<u>YES</u>
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, HARVEY LEE	<u>YES</u>

iii. **Everitt Hill Minor Lot (6950)** – Application is for final subdivision approval of one (1) lot of 2.51 acres and one (1) lot of 1.67 acres, identified as Parcel #2-09-7-25-00-0-00023-00. Subject property is located on the west side of Mabry Road and north of Dean Road in Section 25, Township 2, Range 9 and is zoned Agricultural-Residential (A-R). (District 4)

Mr. Haysley introduced the application and stated that a manufactured home exists on what will become Lot 2. He stated that the applicant had stated that the manufactured home would be removed and a new home would be constructed on the property. Mr. Haysley recommended that the Board

condition approval on either removal of the manufactured home or the applicant receiving an approved Conditional Use for the manufactured home.

Supervisor Medlin asked for clarification on the structures currently on the property. Mr. Haysley stated that a single family residence and a mobile home are on the property.

Supervisor Caldwell asked if there is typically a time limit on a Conditional Use. Mr. Haysley stated that there is, but it varies on a case-by-case basis. Supervisor Caldwell asked if there was a recommendation, and Mr. Haysley stated that the Planning Commission recommended approval without any conditions.

Supervisor Medlin stated that the applicant may wish to live in the manufactured home while the new home was being constructed. Supervisor Lee stated that this was true, and suggested that the subdivision be approved with a time limit on how long the manufactured home could remain.

Mr. Haysley explained that often when a mobile home is being replaced by a newly constructed home, a building permit is issued and a note is added to the file indicating that the manufactured home must be removed following completion of the home. Mr. Garrod added that in these cases a temporary Use and Occupancy permit is granted on the new home to allow the residents to move in, but a final U&O permit is not granted until the manufactured home is removed.

Supervisor Caldwell asked if the subdivision could be approved with a time limitation of three years for removal of the manufactured home. Supervisor Medlin stated that the condition could be added that the manufactured home be removed six months after completion of the new home. Supervisor Gardner said he did not want to set a precedent whereby manufactured homes are added to lots over three acres, and then those properties are subsequently divided into lots under 3 acres, circumventing the requirement for a Conditional Use for manufactured homes on lots less than 3 acres. Supervisor Caldwell noted that she did not want to create a situation where such lots could be created and then sold.

Supervisor Caldwell requested that the item be held off until after more information is gathered. Supervisor Medlin asked for information regarding the manufactured home. The Board recessed for lunch.

Mr. Garrod continued the discussion by stating that he had spoken with the applicant and that the applicant's daughter plans to build a home on the new lot and remove the manufactured home. Supervisor Medlin asked if the daughter was currently living in the manufactured home and Mr. Garrod confirmed that she was. Mr. Haysley noted that a Conditional Use was granted in 1998 for the manufactured home and is in effect until the daughter moves out.

Supervisor Caldwell made a motion to approve the subdivision on the condition that the manufactured home is removed upon completion of the home and prior to final occupancy of the new home, and that the new home construction be completed within one year. Supervisor Medlin seconded the motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN	<u>YES</u>
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, MARK GARDNER	<u>YES</u>
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL	<u>YES</u>
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, LEE CALDWELL	<u>YES</u>
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, HARVEY LEE	<u>YES</u>

III. OTHER ITEMS

a. <u>Discussion: Residential Off-street Parking Regulations</u>

Mr. Garrod began the discussion of proposed changed to the off-street parking regulations by describing three substantive changed that have been proposed to the ordinance. He said that these were definitions of driveways and driveway approaches; clarification on where parking is as opposed to other paved areas on a lot; and clarification on where off-driveway parking is, as these areas have different standards than driveways. Supervisor Russell noted that the definition of Pervious Concrete was also added.

There was discussion regarding the definition of a junk car. Supervisor Russell asked if a junk car should be defined by a lack of license plate and inspection sticker, or just lack of inspection sticker. Supervisor Lee noted that proposed legislation at the state level may change the inspection sticker requirements. Supervisor Russell agreed that a decision on this matter should be delayed until the proposed new legislation is resolved.

Supervisor Russell explained that the old definition of a rear yard was problematic in that it states the rear yard extends the full width of the lot from the building to the rear lot line. It assumed there were 2 side yards, but on a corner lot the yards along the street are both considered front yards. In such cases the rear yard cannot extend the full width of the lot. Mr. Garrod added that the yard definitions were illustrated in the exhibits. Supervisor Russell asked if the rear yard should extend from the rear of the house to the rear property line, without saying it extends the full width of the lot. Mr. Nowak said we may need to add exclusions of the side and/or front yard. He said he would work on developing language for the definition.

Mr. Garrod asked if public notice would be necessary to amend the ordinance, and Mr. Nowak said yes. Mr. Garrod stated that the changes made following this discussion would be incorporated into the ordinance prior to the public hearing.

Supervisor Medlin asked if the discussion applied only to R-30 lots and smaller. Mr. Garrod stated that it applied to all "R" zones and PUD residential districts.

Mr. Garrod stated that the changes attempt to clarify current vagueness in the ordinance about what pavement is, and to include concrete grid pavers and pervious concrete for environmental purposes. The changes also add standards for the width of a driveway, and a new 5' setback for paved parking. Supervisor Lee said that not much was being changed, but the rule was being clarified to address confusion on the part of the public and Homeowners Associations. Supervisor Medlin added that the rule does add a paving requirement. Mr. Garrod stated that the changes remove questions about what "paving" is.

Mr. Garrod stated that any nonconformity would be allowed if it currently exists. However, if any repairs are changes are made to the existing nonconformity it would then have to be brought up to the proposed standards.

Mr. Garrod stated that the proposed rule changes the allowable vehicle sizes. The new rule refers to vehicle class rather than tonnage. The proposal excludes Medium and Heavy Duty Class 5-8. There was discussion regarding the difference between various vehicle classes. Supervisor Lee said up to Class 6 should be allowed, since many people drive trucks of this size for personal reasons. Supervisors Caldwell and Russell agreed. Supervisor Gardner asked if work trucks such as cable bucket trucks would be allowable. It was also agreed that such trucks likely fall under Class 4. Mr. Garrod stated that the rule could provide exemptions for work trucks. He also noted that the current code prohibits vehicles over one ton, which includes Class 4 and above. Mr. Garrod said that the language would be altered to allow up to Class 6, and prohibit Class 7 and 8.

Mr. Garrod stated that the changes also clarify language about paving commercial sites because a typo exists in the current version.

Sheriff Rasco asked who would be responsible for enforcement of the changes. Mr. Garrod stated that the Planning Department would be responsible.

Supervisor Russell stated that other than redefining and clarifying the only thing that is changing is to prohibit parking on grass in the side yard. Supervisor Lee stated that the changes will give homeowners options for parking. Supervisor Medlin said that the rules would change the allowable vehicle sizes, and Supervisor Russell noted that the allowable sizes would actually increase.

Supervisor Russell stated that legal nonconformities, such as existing gravel parking pads adjacent to a driveway, may continue to exist.

Supervisor Russell stated that the committee did not address fences, though the topic came up several times. He stated that there are no regulations regarding fence heights except that Article X states that in residential districts fences can't be over 3 feet in the front yard. Supervisor Russell asked if this issue should be addressed. Supervisor Caldwell stated that it should be addressed. Supervisor Russell agreed,

stating that on double frontage lots, a fenced backyard would only be allowed with a variance. Mr. Garrod said that he would find examples of fence regulations.

Supervisor Russell asked if the committee could focus on fixing the front-yard fence height regulation (Article X, 2, A), and work on fuller fence regulations later.

Supervisor Lee made a motion to hold a public hearing on October 27, 2014 to consider changes to Articles II, X, and XV of the Zoning Ordinance, related to off-street parking and fence heights in residential zones. Supervisor Gardner seconded the motion

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN	<u>ABSENT</u>
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, MARK GARDNER	<u>YES</u>
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL	<u>YES</u>
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, LEE CALDWELL	<u>YES</u>
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, HARVEY LEE	YES

b. Discussion: Single Access Subdivisions

Supervisor Gardner stated that during the storm event on September 11, 2014 the single access road at Spring Valley subdivision was washed out. He said this situation is difficult for emergency response and needs to be reviewed. He recognized that fixing existing subdivisions with single access points would be difficult, but would like to address the issue moving forward.

Supervisor Russell stated that the issue has been addressed in the last few years. Mr. Garrod stated that current fire codes do not allow single-access subdivisions with more than 30 lots. Mr. Haysley added that all new Preliminary Subdivision applications are sent to the Fire Marshall for review of Fire Code compliance. Supervisor Medlin noted that in the past subdivisions were often developed with stub-out roads under the assumption that future development would create more access points.

Supervisor Gardner said the issue should be investigated further, in both existing and new subdivisions, due to safety concerns. Supervisor Caldwell expressed concerns about the potential costs of fixing existing subdivision access being borne by the public. Supervisor Gardner stated that at this time he simply wanted to study the issue and potential solutions.

Supervisor Gardner made a motion to establish a committee to study ways to improve emergency access to single-access subdivisions. The committee shall consist of: a representative from the Sheriff's Department, representative from the GIS Department, the Fire Marshall, a representative from Emergency Medical Services, the Director of Emergency Services, a representative from the Planning Department, the County Administrator, and Supervisor Gardner. Supervisor Caldwell seconded the motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN	<u>YES</u>
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, MARK GARDNER	<u>YES</u>
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL	<u>YES</u>
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, LEE CALDWELL	<u>YES</u>
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, HARVEY LEE	<u>YES</u>

J. EXECUTIVE SESSION

The executive session portion of these minutes is recorded under the portion of the minutes called "Executive Session".

K. OTHER

a. Flood Control Committee

Supervisor Lee Caldwell suggested forming a flood control committee. The Board discussed the recent flooding and how to structure the committee.

Supervisor Lee Caldwell made the motion and Supervisor Mark Gardner seconded the motion to approve forming a Flood Control Committee and to appoint the following participants: Supervisor Mark Gardner, Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Road Manager Andy Swims, Board Attorney Tony Nowak as needed, Planning Director Ted Garrod, GIS Director Matt Hanks, Assistant County Engineer Scott Young, Stormwater Committee as needed and the Mayors of the Cities.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

b. EMA Contact Information

Supervisor Lee Caldwell gave each Board member an EMA Directory which consisted of numbers to call when there is an emergency. Supervisor Caldwell said that Deputy Chief Chris Olson did a great job creating the book. She said they now have an EMA email address as follows:

EMA@desotocountyms.gov. Supervisor Bill Russell said he is looking forward to the emergency training.

Supervisor Mark Gardner and Supervisor Caldwell discussed having a PIO, one person of contact that will go to the EOC and get the information out to the public in case of an event; such as locations of emergency shelters.

c. Approval of Travel for BOS for Fall Workshop

Supervisor Mark Gardner made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to approve any Supervisor's travel expenses who may be interested in attending the MAS Fall Work Shop 2014 Oct. 20-22, 2014.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

The Board of Supervisors discussed the scheduled meeting dates in October and agreed to change the third Monday meeting to the 4th Monday; and to meet October 27, 2014 at 9:00am; to accommodate the Public Hearing that was scheduled by the Planning Commission for off street parking in the County.

Supervisor Harvey Lee made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to adjourn the Board meeting until October 6, at 9:00 a.m.

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin, First District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Mark Gardner, Second District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Bill Russell, Third District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Lee Caldwell, Fourth District	<u>YES</u>
Supervisor Harvey Lee, Fifth District	<u>YES</u>

THIS the 15th day of September, 2014, these minutes have been read and approved by the DeSoto County Board of Supervisors.

Lee Caldwell, President DeSoto County Board of Supervisors